

Ron Buckholt

From: Bill & Sherry Reus <reusters@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2022 12:24 PM
To: Ron Buckholt
Cc: Ron Buckholt; smitty9729@gmail.com; Puneet Graver; cansul@comcast.net; dan@pinnacle-rarities.com; katyonline@comcast.net; adamgraver@gmail.com; halldavid197@gmail.com; Hill55ra@gmail.com; Mary Jo Hurley; noreenjustinen@comcast.net; papasianc@umkc.edu; hearnkris@gmail.com; chuck9547@comcast.net; danwsandy@yahoo.com; martha@memoriesunlimited.com; TGJohnston80@gmail.com; jimbrazil@me.com
Subject: Re: Shoreline Substantial Development Permit Project 2022103702

Hi Mr. Buckholt,

Thank you for the reply. That map submitted by the applicant on July 22, 2022 that you have now forwarded adds some clarification for me. I appreciate this. I have a couple of comments:

- 1) The Notice of Application mailed November 4, would have been clearer if this were the map included.
- 2) Though I am now clearer, this has not necessarily reached all those on the "Cc:" list. The list was not exhaustive. Some within 500' of this project are also not on the list.
- 3) I think the 30 day comment period should not begin November 4 but after notification of the clarification.
- 4) Marking the area with floats as requested, would help the stakeholders know the area of this project better. The request is within the resources of Taylor Shellfish (getting in a boat, finding some waypoints, dropping multiple weights with floats -within their wheelhouse).

I have a couple of other questions. Please answer if you can:

- 1) Is segment AB the northern end of the Mazanti parcel?
- 2) What are the mostly north-south lines that extend beyond the 8.5 acre project area?
- 3) according to the County data the use code is 93 Water Area and the property type is OYL. What does this mean? Are either of these how the plot is zoned? Can you send a reference to an explanation of the zoning?
- 4) What is the contact information for Thurston Co. Zoning folks?
- 5) Is Shellfish growing for resale or export considered agriculture or commercial or what?
- 6) Is the Mazanti parcel privately held having been purchased in 2017?

Thank you again,
 William Reus

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 21, 2022, at 10:49 AM, Jim Brazil <jimbrazil@me.com> wrote:

Thank you all for the heads up. I contacted the county and asked them for more information and an extension on the permitting process.

Jim Brazil

On Nov 21, 2022, at 9:55 AM, Ron Buckholt <ron.buckholt@co.thurston.wa.us> wrote:

Bill,

Please see attachment of a site plan, provided by the applicant, depicting the location and boundaries of their proposal in more detail. Hope this helps. Thanks.

Ron B.

From: Bill & Sherry Reus <reusters@comcast.net>

Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2022 5:46 PM

To: Ron Buckholt <ron.buckholt@co.thurston.wa.us>

Cc: Bill Reus III <reusters@comcast.net>; smitty9729@gmail.com; Puneet Graver <puneetbhullar@gmail.com>; cansul@comcast.net; dan@pinnacle-rarities.com; katyonline@comcast.net; adamgraver@gmail.com; halldavid197@gmail.com; Hill55ra@gmail.com; Mary Jo Hurley <maryjo.hurley@comcast.net>; noreenjustinen@comcast.net; papasianc@umkc.edu; hearnkris@gmail.com; chuck9547@comcast.net; danwsandy@yahoo.com; martha@memoriesunlimited.com; jimbrazil@me.com; TGJohnston80@gmail.com

Subject: Shoreline Substantial Development Permit Project 2022103702

We are responding to the Notice of Application for a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit filed on behalf of Taylor Shellfish and mailed on November 4, 2022 to parcel owners nearby. The proposal involves parcel no. 93000100000 and we are directed to the County website. We are also referred to a map included in the Notice. The map shows an area with a highlighted boundary but has some obvious problems. First the area runs off the bottom of the map and presumably extends further south. On the County website it seems to go as far as 86th St. When we compare the map to data on the Thurston County Parcel Lookup tool we see a second problem. The Lookup tool says the parcel is 8.85 acres. A simple observation of the map shows the area inside the highlighted boundary is much larger. A simple calculation (measuring from the map and using our parcel, 56550202100, equaling 2.23 acres as a reference) shows this area to be at least 14.5 acres and it still might run further south. What is the true area? Physically, where are the north and south boundaries? On the Thurston County website that we are referred to, neither a map of this exact parcel nor photos exist (see attached screen shots).

The comment period ends December 5, 2022. Clearly, for the waterfront home owner, trying to visualize the real boundaries and magnitude of this Shoreline Substantial Development is impossible! Our proposal is that the County ask and require as a condition of moving forward, that Taylor Shellfish mark this area. We'd suggest brightly colored floats every 100 feet and at the corners. These floats should be left in for 30 days while the comment period continues, including this time period. Certainly they have the resources to do this.

We talk to our neighbors. No one seems to understand what is being proposed. The County documents do not provide the clarity we deserve. The time window is closing while people's attention has been distracted by things such as Thanksgiving. The continued pristine nature of the Johnson Point/ Otis Beach/ Henderson Inlet area needs to be carefully considered by the stakeholders in a more clear and less hurried way. Incumbent on Taylor Shellfish and the County is to provide that clarity and time.