

From: [Lon Sullivan/Virginia Cannon](#)
To: [Sonja Cady](#)
Subject: Comment re Project # 2022103702 Taylor Shellfish...
Date: Monday, January 8, 2024 4:23:07 PM

I was amazed how the county happened to choose December 21 to mail the Public Notice for a hearing and use pandemic media channel (phone, zoom) in stead of a pre-pandemic, standard face-to-face meeting, and adding five minute time limits with county discretion to shave that down. Some say the county was burying the notice in the Holiday season.

Recalling this Application's general time frames, homeowners were given about a week with sharp 5 PM deadline last winter to comment on this Application so that the county would have time for analysis. Homeowners delivered their comments in on time. The country response was a half-year later, and the response was assigned to the self-approving Applicant not to "the neutral" county department.

Questions:

What is the foundation of this public property use transfer? If it is SMA-supporting economic development, how many long-term jobs will be fully allocated to this project as SMA expectations?

Is this use transfer of public property generating revenue for some public purpose? If so, in what amount? Or is this just an asset transfer with no identified "consideration" (i.e. benefit)?

Is this Application for a 13-year sole source lease that meets sole source criteria? Meets "commercial" criteria? Could a street vendor selling home produce be commercial? Could a homeowner grower who consumes own product be engaging in commerce (labor, nets, geoducks, clams etc) ?

I did not see any staff-cited SMA protections for homeowners. Was the "staff comment" simply already too long to address any? For example, there are stakes in the tidal flats that our realtor described during sale. And a 3rd generation realtor-friend told me that much or most of Olympia waterfront was surveyed decades ago leaving tidal stakes evidencing homeowner tidal property for future boundary determinations. These stake exist. They need to be memorialized inn text and and photography within SMA "staff comments" and retained documentation.

Staff Comments for 173-27-140: "from the proposed PVC pipe". The intro says no PVC pipe will be used.

Requesting to be put on the Decisions lisdt and Padt of Record.

Sent 4:20 PM, Monday, January 8, 2024