

From: [Comcast](#)
To: [Sonja Cady](#)
Cc: [Kraig Chalem](#); [Heather Tschaekofske](#)
Subject: Response to comments made after my testimony yesterday re: Project# 2023100649
Date: Wednesday, April 24, 2024 1:35:57 PM

Ms.Cady;

I would like to respond to a couple of comments in yesterday's hearing made after my testimony.

As I stated in my testimony, there have been 3 versions of the Confluence environmental review regarding my offsite wetland. In the first two they state "the habitat buffer of 100 feet is larger and therefore applies as the standard buffer." The third latest version states it should be 50 feet. No where in the third version nor in their comments after my testimony did they state the reasoning for their change.

I think the telling comment was made by the developer who stated if the 100 foot buffer was applied it would eliminate 2 housing lots and they would not then meet the housing density requirements.

The wetland on my property should not be sacrificed to salvage a bad business decision.

Lawrence Bennett

Sent from my iPad