


Sample Ballot Instructions

Instructions



Marking Your Ballot

Use a dark pen. Vote for one. If you vote for more than one, that race or measure will not count. To vote for a candidate not on the ballot, print the name on the dashed line and fill in the oval to the left.



Making A Correction

Draw a line through the entire candidate's name or response. To vote for another candidate or response, fill in the oval to the left of your new selection.

Additional Information

Sign and date the voter's declaration on the return envelope.

For a replacement ballot, call the Thurston County Auditor's Office at 360.786.5408.

Return your ballot in a ballot drop box no later than 8:00 p.m. Election Day, or by mail (postmarked on or before Election Day).

For a list of the people and organizations that donated to state and local candidates and ballot measure campaigns, visit www.pdc.wa.gov.

For information about the location, hours, and services of the voting center, ballot drop boxes, and availability of accessible voting equipment and locations, call the Thurston County Auditor's Office at 360.786.5408 (or 711 Washington Relay) or visit ThurstonVotes.org.

If a primary election was held for an office, the two candidates who received the most votes in the Primary advanced to the General Election.

Each candidate for partisan office may state a political party that he or she prefers. A candidate's preference does not imply that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party, or that the party approves of or associates with that candidate.

Note: Not all of these candidates and measures will appear on your regular ballot. Your ballot will only contain the races and measures for which you are eligible to vote. Be sure to follow all instructions on your ballot.

Initiatives to the People

Initiative Measure No. 1631

Initiative Measure No. 1631 concerns pollution. This measure would charge pollution fees on sources of greenhouse gas pollutants and use the revenue to reduce pollution, promote clean energy, and address climate impacts, under oversight of a public board.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

- Yes
 - No
-

Initiative Measure No. 1634

Initiative Measure No. 1634 concerns taxation of certain items intended for human consumption. This measure would prohibit new or increased local taxes, fees, or assessments on raw or processed foods or beverages (with exceptions), or ingredients thereof, unless effective by January 15, 2018, or generally applicable.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

- Yes
 - No
-

Initiative Measure No. 1639

Initiative Measure No. 1639 concerns firearms. This measure would require increased background checks, training, age limitations, and waiting periods for sales or delivery of semiautomatic assault rifles; criminalize noncompliant storage upon unauthorized use; allow fees; and enact other provisions.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

- Yes
 - No
-

Initiatives to the Legislature

Initiative Measure No. 940

Initiative Measure No. 940 concerns law enforcement. This measure would require law enforcement to receive violence de-escalation, mental-health, and first-aid training, and provide first-aid; and change standards for use of deadly force, adding a "good faith" standard and independent investigation.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

- Yes
 - No
-

Advisory Votes

**Advisory Vote No. 19
Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill
6269**

The legislature expanded, without a vote of the people, the oil spill response and administration taxes to crude oil or petroleum products received by pipeline, costing \$13,000,000 over ten years for government spending.

This tax increase should be:

- Repealed
 - Maintained
-

**Continue voting
on the next page.**

READ: Each candidate for partisan office may state a political party that he or she prefers. A candidate’s preference does not imply that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party, or that the party approves of or associates with that candidate.

Federal Partisan Offices

**U.S. Senator
Six Year Term** **Vote for One**

- Maria Cantwell
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- Susan Hutchison
(Prefers Republican Party)
-

Federal Partisan Offices

**U.S. Representative, District No. 3
Two Year Term** **Vote for One**

- Jaime Herrera Beutler
(Prefers Republican Party)
- Carolyn Long
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

**U.S. Representative, District No. 10
Two Year Term** **Vote for One**

- Denny Heck
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- Joseph Brumbles
(Prefers Republican Party)
-

State Legislative Partisan Office

**Representative, District No. 2
Position No. 1
Two Year Term** **Vote for One**

- Andrew Barkis
(Prefers Republican Party)
- Anneliese Feld
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

State Legislative Partisan Offices

**Representative, District No. 2
Position No. 2
Two Year Term** **Vote for One**

- JT Wilcox
(Prefers Republican Party)
-

**Representative, District No. 20
Position No. 1
Two Year Term** **Vote for One**

- Richard DeBolt
(Prefers GOP Party)
- John Thompson
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

**Representative, District No. 20
Position No. 2
Two Year Term** **Vote for One**

- Ed Orcutt
(Prefers Republican Party)
- Brennan Bailey
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

**Representative, District No. 22
Position No. 1
Two Year Term** **Vote for One**

- Laurie Dolan
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- C Davis
(Prefers Independent Party)
-

State Legislative Partisan Offices

Representative, District No. 22
Position No. 2
Two Year Term **Vote for One**

- Beth Doglio
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- Allen Acosta
(Prefers Libertarian Party)
-

Senator, District No. 35
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Irene Bowling
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- Tim Sheldon
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

Representative, District No. 35
Position No. 1
Two Year Term **Vote for One**

- Dan Griffey
(Prefers Republican Party)
- James Thomas
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

Representative, District No. 35
Position No. 2
Two Year Term **Vote for One**

- David Daggett
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- Drew C. MacEwen
(Prefers Republican Party)
-

County Partisan Offices

Assessor
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Steven J. Drew
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

Auditor
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Mary Hall
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- Stuart Holmes
(Prefers Independent Party)
-

Clerk
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Linda Enlow
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

Commissioner, District No. 3
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Bud Blake
(Prefers Independent Party)
- Tye Menser
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

Coroner
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Gary Warnock
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

Prosecuting Attorney
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Jon Tunheim
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- Victor M. Minjares
(Prefers Democratic Party)
-

County Partisan Offices

Sheriff
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- John D. Snaza
(Prefers Independent Party)
- _____

Treasurer
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Jeff Gadman
(Prefers Democratic Party)
- _____

State Judicial Nonpartisan Offices

Supreme Court Justice
Position No. 2
Six Year Term **Vote for One**

- Susan Owens
- _____

Supreme Court Justice
Position No. 8
Six Year Term **Vote for One**

- Nathan Choi
- Steve Gonzalez
- _____

Supreme Court Justice
Position No. 9
Six Year Term **Vote for One**

- Sheryl Gordon McCloud
- _____

Court of Appeals Judge
Division 2, District 2
Position No. 2
Six Year Term **Vote for One**

- Rebecca Glasgow
- _____

County Judicial Nonpartisan Offices

District Court Judge
Position No. 1
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Kalo Wilcox
- _____

District Court Judge
Position No. 2
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Sam Meyer
- _____

District Court Judge
Position No. 3
Four Year Term **Vote for One**

- Brett Buckley
- _____

County Nonpartisan Office

Public Utility District
Commissioner, District 1
Six Year Term **Vote for One**

- Linda L. Oosterman
- Andrew Saturn
- _____

**Intercity Transit Authority
Proposition No. 1
Maintain, Improve and Expand Public
Transportation Services**

The Intercity Transit Authority adopted Resolution 02-2018 concerning a proposition to finance public transportation services. This proposition seeks voter approval to increase the sales and use tax within the public transportation benefit area by four-tenths of one percent (0.4%) to maintain, improve and expand transit services. The funds would preserve current services, expand bus routes into new areas, increase the frequency and operating hours of local and commuter bus service, make capital and technology improvements and expand specialized transportation services for seniors and individuals living with disabilities.

Should this proposition be:

- Approved
- Rejected

**City of Lacey
Proposition No. 1
Formation and Funding of New Lacey
Metropolitan Park District**

City of Lacey Resolution No.1064 concerns formation of the Lacey Metropolitan Park District. This proposition would create the Lacey Metropolitan Park District to provide ongoing funding to acquire, maintain, operate, and improve parks, trails, recreational facilities, and programs; set district boundaries as the city’s corporate limits; designate the Lacey City Council as ex officio board of parks commissioners with all powers set forth in Chapter 35.61 RCW; and authorize the park board to set the maximum general tax levy rate at an amount up to \$.47 per \$1,000 assessed valuation.

Shall the Lacey Metropolitan Park District be so created and governed?

- For the formation of the Lacey Metropolitan Park District, to be governed by the Lacey City Council as ex officio board of parks commissioners.
- Against the formation of a metropolitan park district.

**City of Tumwater
Proposition No. 1
Formation and Funding of New Tumwater
Metropolitan Park District**

City of Tumwater Resolution No. R2018-017 concerns formation of the Tumwater Metropolitan Park District. The proposition would create the Tumwater Metropolitan Park District to provide ongoing funding to acquire, maintain, operate and improve parks, trails, recreational facilities, and programs; set district boundaries as the city’s corporate limits; designate City Council as park board with all powers set forth in 35.61 RCW; and set the maximum general tax levy rate at \$.75 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation beginning with collection of \$.45 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation for the first five years.

Shall the Tumwater Metropolitan Park District be so created and governed?

- For the formation of the Tumwater Metropolitan Park District, to be governed by the Tumwater City Council as the ex officio board of parks commissioners.
- Against the formation of a metropolitan park district.

**Thurston County Fire Protection District No. 8
(South Bay)
Proposition No. 1
Multiple Year Levy Lid Lift**

The Board of Thurston County Fire Protection District 8 adopted Resolution No. 2018-01 concerning a proposition to maintain and fund District operations. This proposition will authorize the District to restore its regular property tax levy to \$1.50 per \$1,000.00 of assessed valuation in 2018 for collection in 2019 and establish annual increases of up to six percent, for each of the five succeeding years, to provide funding to maintain fire protection and emergency medical service levels. The maximum allowable levy in 2023 shall serve as the base for subsequent levy limitations as provided by Chapter 84.55 RCW.

Should this proposition be:

- Approved
- Rejected

**Thurston County Fire Protection District No. 9
Proposition No. 1
Increase Board of Fire Commissioners from
Three to Five**

Thurston County Fire Protection District No. 9 Board of Commissioners adopted Resolution No. 18-529 concerning a proposition to increase the Board of Commissioners from three to five.

Shall Thurston County Fire Protection District No. 9 Board of Commissioners be increased from three to five members?

Yes

No

**Thurston County Fire Protection District No. 12
Proposition No. 1
Increase Board of Fire Commissioners from
Three to Five**

The Board of Fire Commissioners of Thurston County Fire District No. 12 has adopted Resolution No. 2018-6 concerning a proposition to increase the Board of Commissioners from three to five.

Shall Thurston County Fire District No. 12 Board of Commissioners be increased from three to five members?

Yes

No
