AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES CRITICAL AREAS UPDATE

Planning Commission

July 20, 2022 Concept Discussion

EXISTING AGRICULTURAL USES



EXISTING INCLUDES

Ag activities & facilities in existence before
 July 22, 2011

VOLUNTARY STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

- Voluntary enrollment
- Balances critical areas protection and agricultural viability
- Subject to Individual Stewardship Plan
- Administered by Thurston Conservation District
- 'No net loss' measured at watershed basin level

NEW AGRICULTURAL USES



NEW INCLUDES

- Lands converted to Ag after July 22, 2011
- New / expanded facilities built after July 22, 2011

CHAPTERS 17.15 AND TITLE 24

- New ag activities fall under 17.15
- New Ag facilities fall under Title 24, CAO
- 'No net loss' measured at site level

2021 AG SURVEY RESULTS

- Part of County's Community Driven Review of Agriculture Policies and Programs (Docket Item CPA-16)
- 420 people surveyed; 164 current / prospective farmers
- 66% of farmers concerned about:
 'Lack of integration of habitat protection and protecting working farmlands'
- Respondents identified 'Making permit process easier for beneficial conservation enhancement Projects' as Priority #2 Permitting Action Item



WHAT DO OTHER COUNTIES DO FOR NEW AG?

FLEXIBLE

Leverage partnerships

- Allowed subject to Conservation Plan; prepared by TSP and approved by County
- Default option-comply with CAO Pierce, Walla Walla, Yakima, Grays Harbor, Mason, Kitsap, Snohomish

MID

Prescriptive flexibility

 Special buffer mitigation provisions for new Ag uses and facilities

INFLEXIBLE

No special provisions

 CAO applies to new Ag uses and facilities

Skagit, Lewis, Whatcom

AG CRITICAL AREAS UPDATE GOALS

- Maintain and improve Long-Term Agriculture viability
- Build on strong foundation of partnerships
- Clarify distinction btwn existing and new Ag uses
- Provide consistent approach for new Ag activities and facilities
- Incentivize ag practices that support conservation and climate mitigation





conservation plan *or* Title 24 Balances protection of critical areas and working farmlands Provides more flexibility and opportunities to incentivize beneficial conservation projects and climate mitigation practices Builds on VSP program

Multiple pathways to CAO compliance –

CONSERVATION PLAN APPROACH

PROCESS:

- Prepared by TSP; reviewed and approved by county
- Identify benchmarks and mitigation measures for impacts ensuring site-specific 'no net loss'
- Farmers to participate in monitoring and adaptive mgmt. as prescribed in plan; county to enforce

ADDRESSES:

- Farm size
- Critical areas, soil types and slope of land
- Waste and manure piles
- Surface water, water flow controls, water treatment & mgmt
- Type of crops or livestock
- Machinery and ag buildings

INCLUDES:

- Goals
- Maps, Scope, and Timeline
- Implementation Plan
- Monitoring & Compliance



ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC PROCESS

- STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH (MAY / JUNE)
 - TCD CHECK-IN
 - AAC BRIEFING (JUNE 16)
 - DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
- PLANNING COMMISSION (JULY SEPT)
- BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (OCT / NOV)

