Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner: Parcel Number(s):	36950408600		
· ,	2016	Petition Number: 16-011	2
_	the evidence presented by the pa	• • •	hereby:
sustains	overrules the determin	ation of the assessor.	
Assessor's True ar	nd Fair Value	BOE True and Fair Va	lue Determination
∠ Land	\$ 84,900	∠ Land	\$ 84,900
	s \$ 460,700	Improvements	\$ 445,100
Minerals	\$	_ Minerals	\$
Personal Prop	<u> </u>	Personal Property	\$
TOTAL:	\$ <u>545,600</u>	TOTAL:	\$ 530,000
\$500,000. The Petit exposure, where the	tioner testified about the deterior ere is loose siding and trim. The	Petitioner estimated that the c	of February 3, 2016, for some related to sun ost to cure these
\$500,000. The Petit exposure, where the deficiencies is \$20,0 value. The Board fit Assessor provided a value. The Board fit compelling evidence	tioner testified about the deterior	ration on the west side of the hard Petitioner estimated that the comprovided comparable sales in a sale a is the same as Assessor and comparable sales in suppose sale a (also Assessor's compare is clear, cogent, and convince is clear, cogent, and convince	oome related to sun ost to cure these support of their requested r's comparable sale 4. The ort of the current assessed arable sale 4) is the most cing evidence sufficient to
\$500,000. The Petit exposure, where the deficiencies is \$20,0 value. The Board fit Assessor provided a value. The Board fit compelling evidence	tioner testified about the deterior ere is loose siding and trim. The 000 to \$30,000. The Petitioners and that Petitioners' comparable a market-adjusted cost approach and that Petitioners' comparable etc. The Board concludes that the	ration on the west side of the hard Petitioner estimated that the comprovided comparable sales in a sale a is the same as Assessor and comparable sales in suppose sale a (also Assessor's compare is clear, cogent, and convince is clear, cogent, and convince	of February 3, 2016, for nome related to sun ost to cure these support of their requested r's comparable sale 4. The ort of the current assessed arable sale 4) is the most cing evidence sufficient to
\$500,000. The Petit exposure, where the deficiencies is \$20,000 value. The Board for Assessor provided a value. The Board for compelling evidence overcome the Assessor	tioner testified about the deterior ere is loose siding and trim. The 000 to \$30,000. The Petitioners and that Petitioners' comparable a market-adjusted cost approach and that Petitioners' comparable etc. The Board concludes that the essor's presumption of correctnes	ration on the west side of the hard Petitioner estimated that the comprovided comparable sales in a sale a is the same as Assessor and comparable sales in support sale a (also Assessor's compare is clear, cogent, and convince and to warrant a reduction in	of February 3, 2016, for nome related to sun ost to cure these support of their requested r's comparable sale 4. The ort of the current assessed arable sale 4) is the most cing evidence sufficient to
\$500,000. The Petit exposure, where the deficiencies is \$20,0 value. The Board fit Assessor provided a value. The Board fit compelling evidence overcome the Assessor bated this	tioner testified about the deterior ere is loose siding and trim. The 000 to \$30,000. The Petitioners and that Petitioners' comparable a market-adjusted cost approach and that Petitioners' comparable ere. The Board concludes that the eresor's presumption of correctnes day of April	ration on the west side of the hard Petitioner estimated that the comprovided comparable sales in a sale a is the same as Assessor and comparable sales in support sale a (also Assessor's compare is clear, cogent, and convince and to warrant a reduction in	of February 3, 2016, for some related to sun ost to cure these support of their requested r's comparable sale 4. The ort of the current assessed arable sale 4) is the most cing evidence sufficient to a the valuation.
\$500,000. The Petit exposure, where the deficiencies is \$20,000 value. The Board for Assessor provided a value. The Board for compelling evidence overcome the Assessor	tioner testified about the deterior ere is loose siding and trim. The 000 to \$30,000. The Petitioners ands that Petitioners' comparable a market-adjusted cost approach ands that Petitioners' comparable e. The Board concludes that the essor's presumption of correctnes day of April	ration on the west side of the hard Petitioner estimated that the correction of the sale and comparable sales in support and comparable sales in support is clear, cogent, and convince and to warrant a reduction in the sale and	of February 3, 2016, for some related to sun ost to cure these support of their requested r's comparable sale 4. The ort of the current assessed arable sale 4) is the most cing evidence sufficient to a the valuation.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

either your county assessor or the State Board.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)