CORRECTED Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner: FRIENDLY VILLAGE I	LC .
Parcel Number(s): 12821210200	
Assessment Year: 2016	Petition Number: 16-0158
	by the parties in this appeal, the Board hereby: determination of the assessor.
Assessor's True and Fair Value Determ	ination BOE True and Fair Value Determination
	∠ Land \$ 4.949.050 ∠ Improvements \$ 2,247,800 ☐ Minerals \$ Personal Property ↑ TOTAL: \$ 7,196,850
on the testimony and evidence presented. It subject property. The Assessor did not part recommended a reduction in the value of the \$7,818,850. The standard of review is redupreponderance of the evidence due to the Active revised his requested value for a total value of \$7,196,850. The Petitio the subject property's operating information comparison approach, and an income approach arguments made by Petitioner's Representative Board concludes that the Petitioner's It warrant a further reduction in the valuation	*
Much	une , 2017
James Harvison, Chairman	Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the Board
	NOTICE

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)

SHIPPED JUL 1 4 2017

SHIPPED JUL 1 2 2017

Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Having considered the evidence presented by the parties in t sustains overrules the determination of	the assessor. OE True and Fair Va Land Improvements Minerals Personal Property TOTAL: les the Assessor's dete n a measure, on its pre- ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	lue I \$ \$ \$ \$ ermineviousespostal re	2,247,800 7,196,850 ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
Having considered the evidence presented by the parties in to sustains overrules the determination of the sustains overrules and Fair Value Determination of the sustains over the sustain	his appeal, the Board he the assessor. OE True and Fair Va Land Improvements Minerals Personal Property TOTAL: les the Assessor's deteen a measure, on its precing. The Assessor's Reto \$2,869,800, for a total	lue I \$ \$ \$ \$ ermineviousespostal re	2,247,800 7,196,850 ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
sustains overrules the determination of a Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination Land \$ 4,949,050 Improvements \$ 3,197,500 Minerals \$ Personal Property \$ 70TAL: \$ 8,146,550 This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overrules on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, is subject property. The Assessor did not participate in the hear recommended a reduction in the value of the improvements \$7,818,850. The standard of review is reduced from clear, containing the standard of review is reduced from clear.	the assessor. OE True and Fair Va Land Improvements Minerals Personal Property TOTAL: les the Assessor's dete n a measure, on its pre- ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ermineviousespostal re	2,247,800 7,196,850 ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination Land Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination Land Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination Begin and Science Assessor Asses	DE True and Fair Va Land Improvements Minerals Personal Property TOTAL: les the Assessor's dete n a measure, on its pre- ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	\$ \$ \$ \$ ermineviousesposetal re	4,959,050 2,247,800 7,196,850 ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
☐ Land \$ 4,949,050 ☐ Improvements \$ 3,197,500 ☐ Minerals \$ ☐ Personal Property \$ ☐ TOTAL: \$ 8,146,550 This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overru on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, i subject property. The Assessor did not participate in the hear recommended a reduction in the value of the improvements \$7,818,850. The standard of review is reduced from clear, co	 ☑ Land ☑ Improvements ☑ Minerals ☑ Personal Property TOTAL: les the Assessor's detern a measure, on its prepring. The Assessor's Roto \$2,869,800, for a total 	\$ \$ \$ \$ ermineviousesposetal re	4,959,050 2,247,800 7,196,850 ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
Improvements \$ 3,197,500 Minerals \$ Personal Property \$ TOTAL: \$ 8,146,550 This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overrue on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, is subject property. The Assessor did not participate in the hear recommended a reduction in the value of the improvements \$7,818,850. The standard of review is reduced from clear, constants.	Improvements Minerals Personal Property TOTAL: les the Assessor's dete n a measure, on its pre ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	\$ \$ \$ crmin	2,247,800 7,196,850 ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
Minerals Personal Property TOTAL: \$ 8,146,550 This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overru on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, i subject property. The Assessor did not participate in the hear recommended a reduction in the value of the improvements \$7,818,850. The standard of review is reduced from clear, co	Minerals Personal Property TOTAL: les the Assessor's dete n a measure, on its pre ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	\$ \$ ermin evious espon tal re	7,196,850 ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
Personal Property \$ TOTAL: \$ 8,146,550 This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overru on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, i subject property. The Assessor did not participate in the hear recommended a reduction in the value of the improvements \$7,818,850. The standard of review is reduced from clear, co	Personal Property TOTAL: les the Assessor's dete n a measure, on its pre ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	\$ \$ ermin evious espon tal re	ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
TOTAL: \$\frac{8,146,550}{\text{Normal and evidence presented.}}\$ This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overrue on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, is subject property. The Assessor did not participate in the hear recommended a reduction in the value of the improvements \$7,818,850. The standard of review is reduced from clear, contains the standard of the improvements \$1,000 to \$1.000	TOTAL: les the Assessor's dete n a measure, on its pre ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	eviou esportal re	ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overru on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, i subject property. The Assessor did not participate in the hear recommended a reduction in the value of the improvements \$7,818,850. The standard of review is reduced from clear, co	les the Assessor's dete n a measure, on its pre ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	eviou esportal re	ation of value based s reviews of the nse included a
on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, is subject property. The Assessor did not participate in the hear recommended a reduction in the value of the improvements \$7,818,850. The standard of review is reduced from clear, continuously.	n a measure, on its pre ring. The Assessor's R to \$2,869,800, for a tot	eviou esportal re	s reviews of the nse included a
Representative revised his requested value to \$4,949,050 for for a total value of \$7,196,850. The Petitioner testified that the subject property's operating information. The Assessor promparison approach, and an income approach in support of arguments made by Petitioner's Representative to be competitive Board concludes that the Petitioner's Representative prowarrant a further reduction in the valuation.	the land and \$2,247,80 he Assessor's expense provided a market-adjust the recommended valuding and adopts the Pe	00 for ration sted ue. To tition	or the improvements is inadequate given cost approach, a sales he Board finds the ner's requested value.
Dated this 28 th day of June ,	2017		
And Comments of the Comments o	th J. Elder Clerk of the	le~	ord -
James Harvison, Chairman Ru			
NOTICE This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax App	,		

either your county assessor or the State Board.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)