Order of the Thurston County

Board of Equalization

Property Owner: Parcel Number(s):	VIVIAN M SUAREZ & J 42510400200		
` '	2016	Petition Number: 16-023	1
Having considered sustains		by the parties in this appeal, the Board determination of the assessor.	hereby:
<u>Assessor's True ar</u>	d Fair Value	BOE True and Fair Va	lue Determination
∠ Land	\$ 88,500	Land	\$ 88,500
		Minprovements	\$ 412,900
☐ Minerals☐ Personal Prop	\$ pertv		\$ \$
TOTAL:	\$ 540,500	TOTAL:	\$ 501,400
on the testimony an improvements from eview is reduced from the Assessor's record	d evidence presented. T \$452,000 to \$425,750, om clear, cogent, and co nmended reduction in v	The Board overrules the Assessor's detailed Assessor recommended a reduction for a total recommended value of \$51 convincing evidence to the preponderary value. The Petitioners revised their total things to the preponder of \$100 cm. February for \$100 cm.	n in the value of the 4,250. The standard of ace of the evidence due to al estimate of value to
on the testimony and improvements from review is reduced from the Assessor's record 501,400. The Peti Petitioners provided adjusted cost approximately and as of January	d evidence presented. T \$452,000 to \$425,750, om clear, cogent, and commended reduction in vitioners purchased the sula fee appraisal from Jach and comparable sale raisal of January 4, 2016, 2016. The Board compared	The Assessor recommended a reduction for a total recommended value of \$510 convincing evidence to the preponderary value. The Petitioners revised their total beject property for \$496,950 on February 4, 2016, for \$501,400. The Asses in support of the recommended value of the total total total total the petitioners provided the valuation. The Board adopts the Petitioners provided the recommended value of the valuation.	n in the value of the 4,250. The standard of ace of the evidence due to all estimate of value to ary 1, 2016. The essor provided a markete. The Board finds the of the true and fair market are preponderance of the
on the testimony and improvements from review is reduced from the Assessor's record 501,400. The Peti Petitioners provided adjusted cost approach to the Assessor's record adjusted cost approach to the Assessor's record adjusted cost approach to the Assessor's record and the Ass	d evidence presented. T \$452,000 to \$425,750, om clear, cogent, and commended reduction in vitioners purchased the sula fee appraisal from Janach and comparable sale raisal of January 4, 2016, The Board coma further reduction in the day of January function of January function of January function of January function of	The Assessor recommended a reduction for a total recommended value of \$510 convincing evidence to the preponderary value. The Petitioners revised their total beject property for \$496,950 on February 4, 2016, for \$501,400. The Asses in support of the recommended value of the total total total total the petitioners provided the valuation. The Board adopts the Petitioners provided the recommended value of the valuation.	n in the value of the 4,250. The standard of ace of the evidence due to all estimate of value to ary 1, 2016. The essor provided a markete. The Board finds the of the true and fair market are preponderance of the stitioners' requested value.

within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-

7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)