

From: [Aaron Fuller](#)
To: [Polly Stoker](#); [Cassie Fuller](#); ryan@nfrrealty.com
Cc: [Lacy Garner](#); [Sonja Cady](#); [Dawn Peebles](#); [Arthur Saint](#)
Subject: RE: Exhibit 3_ CPED Follow up response_Deskins
Date: Monday, May 1, 2023 1:20:05 PM

Hi all,

We are still of the impression that single family type developments are exempt from the incompatible use buffer requirement as listed in the exemptions section of the code. We feel the code language is written in this fashion to separate adjacent lower density residential from apartments, condos, duplexes, townhomes, or other higher density residential that differs in construction from single family residences. We do not feel the intent of the code is to separate single family residences from other single family residences with large vegetative buffers.

With that said we are wanting to keep the setbacks and buffers as low as possible to leave the potential building area as large as possible for future property owners. We believe rear and side yard setbacks of 5' listed in the zoning code are a sufficient buffer and should be maintained. We are willing to install a fence along the south and west property lines to further enhance separation. We would propose a 6' solid wood with 1' lattice at the top similar to this picture. As the code is written we do not believe that this project needs a formal variance from either a administrative or Hearings Examiner perspective however If you would like to condition the project to place a fence along these property lines, we are amenable.



Again we are not proposing buildings at this point. We do expect that some property owners may choose to position buildings closer to the front setback and leave larger backyard areas however we do not want to unnecessarily restrict the building area.

Thanks,
Aaron

From: Polly Stoker <polly.stoker@co.thurston.wa.us>
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 4:13 PM
To: [Cassie Fuller](mailto:cfuller@fullerdesigns.org) <cfuller@fullerdesigns.org>; ryan@nfrrealty.com; Aaron Fuller <afuller@fullerdesigns.org>

Cc: Lacy Garner <lacy.garner@co.thurston.wa.us>; Sonja Cady <sonja.cady@co.thurston.wa.us>; Dawn Peebles <dawn.peebles@co.thurston.wa.us>; Arthur Saint <arthur.saint@co.thurston.wa.us>; Polly Stoker <polly.stoker@co.thurston.wa.us>
Subject: Exhibit 3_ CPED Follow up response_Deskins

Hello all,
Please see attached Exhibit 3_follow up response from CPED.
Thank you
Polly Stoker

From: Polly Stoker
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 4:01 PM
To: Cassie Fuller <cfuller@fullerdesigns.org>; ryan@nfrrealty.com; afuller@fullerdesigns.org
Cc: Lacy Garner <lacy.garner@co.thurston.wa.us>; Sonja Cady <sonja.cady@co.thurston.wa.us>; Dawn Peebles <dawn.peebles@co.thurston.wa.us>; Arthur Saint <arthur.saint@co.thurston.wa.us>; Polly Stoker <polly.stoker@co.thurston.wa.us>
Subject: RE: Exhibit 2 added_ RE: Post-hearing schedule on Deskins

Correction: Renaming to Exhibit 2 (same document).
Thank you
Polly Stoker

From: Polly Stoker
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 3:55 PM
To: Cassie Fuller <cfuller@fullerdesigns.org>; ryan@nfrrealty.com; afuller@fullerdesigns.org
Cc: Lacy Garner <lacy.garner@co.thurston.wa.us>; Sonja Cady <sonja.cady@co.thurston.wa.us>; Dawn Peebles <dawn.peebles@co.thurston.wa.us>; Arthur Saint <arthur.saint@co.thurston.wa.us>; Polly Stoker <polly.stoker@co.thurston.wa.us>
Subject: Exhibit 3 added_ RE: Post-hearing schedule on Deskins

Please see attached the additional Exhibit 3.
Thank you
Polly Stoker

From: Polly Stoker
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 2:19 PM
To: Cassie Fuller <cfuller@fullerdesigns.org>; ryan@nfrrealty.com
Cc: Lacy Garner <lacy.garner@co.thurston.wa.us>; Sonja Cady <sonja.cady@co.thurston.wa.us>
Subject: FW: Post-hearing schedule on Deskins

Hello all,

Please see below for the Post-hearing schedule.

- The record is held open through 4/27 for public comment from members of the public who tried to join the virtual hearing but were unable to join/make comment for technology or access reasons.
- If there is no post-hearing comment, the record will close on 4/27 and the decision is due 5/11.
- If there is post-hearing comment, Staff and Applicant have until close of business 5/1 to respond in writing and the decision is due 5/15.

-
Other documents that have been admitted that I am waiting for:

Ex 2 – CPED memo addressing Department's position on whether variance from TCC 20.45.060 requires official application and notice of application, or not.

Ex 3 – Applicants' written response to Ex 2 for the record.

These two items can be submitted via the hearing clerk within the next 5 business days.
Thank you.

Sharon Rice
Hearing Examiner