

Ron Buckholt

From: William Reus <outlook_BA832D565D67922A@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, December 5, 2022 4:08 PM
To: Michael Mason; Ron Smith; Jcblaser@comcast.net; County_Commissioners; Ron Buckholt; tgjohnston80@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Shoreline Permit Project 2022103702

Dear All,

In reading the attachment from Mike Mason's original email I find this interesting: The State's pilot program for geoduck aquaculture has a FAQ format. Item number one under "Where will the leasing sites be located?" is "The sites will be located on the following physical criteria: No adjacent residential development."

Why is that? What wisdom does the State and DNR apply to this pilot program that is overlooked or ignored in the County's permit process?

Opps! The comment period closed 9 minutes ago.
 Bill Reus

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [Michael Mason](#)
Sent: Monday, December 5, 2022 2:46 PM
To: [Ron Smith](#); [Jcblaser@comcast.net](#); [county.commissioners@co.thurston.wa.us](#); [reusters@comcast.net](#); [ron.buckholt@co.thurston.wa.us](#); [smitty9729@gmail.com](#); [tgjohnston80@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Shoreline Permit Project 2022103702

Dear County Commissioners and Ron Buckholt,
 Please enter into the public record for this permit application the attached document from the Washington State DNR Website that states we need additional research to understand the effects of geoduck aquaculture.

Why would the commissioner of public lands Hilary Franz have this on the website if it wasn't FACT. Please strongly oppose the Taylor application on these grounds.

Regards,
 Mike Mason
 9043 Otis Beach St NE
 Olympia, WA 98516
 253-677-4549