Ron Buckholt

From: Papasian, Christopher J. <PapasianC@umkc.edu>

Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2022 6:00 PM

To: Ron Buckholt

Subject: Fwd: Shoreline Substantial Development Permit Project 2022103702

My wife and I agree completely with the email below. I have an additional concern. We have had a great deal of erosion on our steep embankment in the past 18 months. We have explored having something done to stabilize the bank, because our house is relatively close to the bank.

We have been told that it is extremely difficult to get permits to do this type of work unless your home is in imminent danger. While our house is not in imminent danger today, it might be in another 5 years. My understanding is that stabilizing the bank would involve bringing in boulders by boat. Will this damage the shellfish being "planted" there? Will that present another obstacle to saving my home?

We are extremely uncomfortable with this proposal.

Chris and Kristin Papasian 9529 Johnson Point Loop NE Olympia, WA 98516

Get Outlook for Android

From: Bill & Sherry Reus < reusters@comcast.net> **Sent:** Saturday, November 19, 2022, 5:46 PM

To: ron.buckholt@co.thurston.wa.us < ron.buckholt@co.thurston.wa.us >

Cc: Bill Reus III <reusters@comcast.net>; smitty9729@gmail.com <smitty9729@gmail.com>; Puneet Graver

 $<\!puneetbhullar@gmail.com\!>; cansul@comcast.net <\!cansul@comcast.net\!>; dan@pinnacle-rarities.com <\!dan@pinnacle-rarities.com <\dan@pinnacle-rarities.com <\dan@pinnacle$

rarities.com>; katyonline@comcast.net <katyonline@comcast.net>; adamgraver@gmail.com

<adamgraver@gmail.com>; halldavid197@gmail.com <halldavid197@gmail.com>; Hill55ra@gmail.com

<Hill55ra@gmail.com>; Mary Jo Hurley <maryjo.hurley@comcast.net>; noreenjustinen@comcast.net

<noreenjustinen@comcast.net>; Papasian, Christopher J. <PapasianC@umkc.edu>; hearnkris@gmail.com

<hearnkris@gmail.com>; chuck9547@comcast.net <chuck9547@comcast.net>; danwsandy@yahoo.com

<danwsandy@yahoo.com>; martha@memoriesunlimited.com <martha@memoriesunlimited.com>; jimbrazil@me.com

<jimbrazil@me.com>; TGJohnston80@gmail.com <TGJohnston80@gmail.com>

Subject: Shoreline Substantial Development Permit Project 2022103702

WARNING: This message has originated from an External Source. This may be a phishing expedition that can result in unauthorized access to our IT System. Please use proper judgment and caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

We are responding to the Notice of Application for a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit filed on behalf of Taylor Shellfish and mailed on November 4, 2022 to parcel owners nearby. The proposal involves parcel no. 93000100000 and we are directed to the County website. We are also referred to a map included in the Notice. The map shows an area with a highlighted boundary but has some obvious problems. First the area runs off the bottom of the map and presumably extends further south. On the County website it seems to go as far as 86th St. When we compare the map to data on the Thurston County Parcel Lookup tool we see a second problem. The Lookup tool says the parcel is 8.85


acres. A simple observation of the map shows the area inside the highlighted boundary is much larger. A simple calculation (measuring from the map and using our parcel, 56550202100, equaling 2.23 acres as a reference) shows this area to be at least 14.5 acres and it still might run further south. What is the true area? Physically, where are the north and south boundaries? On the Thurston County website that we are referred to, neither a map of this exact parcel nor photos exist (see attached screen shots).


The comment period ends December 5, 2022. Clearly, for the waterfront home owner, trying to visualize the real boundaries and magnitude of this Shoreline Substantial Development is impossible! Our proposal is that the County ask and require as a condition of moving forward, that Taylor Shellfish mark this area. We'd suggest brightly colored floats every 100 feet and at the corners. These floats should be left in for 30 days while the comment period continues, including this time period. Certainly they have the resources to do this.

We talk to our neighbors. No one seems to understand what is being proposed. The County documents do not provide the clarity we deserve. The time window is closing while people's attention has been distracted by things such as Thanksgiving. The continued pristine nature of the Johnson Point/ Otis Beach/ Henderson Inlet area needs to be carefully considered by the stakeholders in a more clear and less hurried way. Incumbent on Taylor Shellfish and the County is to provide that clarity and time.

William and Sherry Reus







Sent from my iPad