## Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Parcel Number(s):	39270002400	···	
Assessment Year:	2016	Petition Number: 16-039	9
Having considered th	e evidence presented by the parti overrules the determinati	es in this appeal, the Board on of the assessor.	hereby:
Assessor's True and	Fair Value	<b>BOE True and Fair Va</b>	lue Determination
	\$ 84,250	∠     ∠     ∠     Land	\$ 84,250
	\$ 296,300		\$ 296,300
Minerals	\$	Minerals	\$
Personal Prope		Personal Property	\$
	\$ 380,550	TOTAL:	\$ 380,550
on the evidence prese he subject property f Order from the 2015	d on our finding that: The Board sented. Neither party attended the for \$305,000, on July 28, 2014. The assessment year, a property listinger requested value. The Board do	sustains the Assessor's dete hearing to offer testimony. he Petitioner provided the E ag, and a fee appraisal for \$3	ermination of value base The Petitioner purchas Board of Equalization's 305,000 from July 21,
This decision is based on the evidence present the subject property for the 2015 2014, in support of heales. The Assessor pourrent assessed values bersuasive than the Apetitioner did not pro	d on our finding that: The Board sented. Neither party attended the for \$305,000, on July 28, 2014. The assessment year, a property listing	sustains the Assessor's determined to offer testimony. The Petitioner provided the Eng, and a fee appraisal for \$3 es not consider property list approach and comparable salarable sales in the Petitione 2015 and 2016. The Board ag evidence sufficient to over	ermination of value base. The Petitioner purchas Board of Equalization's 305,000 from July 21, tings, only comparable les in support of the r's fee appraisal were less concludes that the
This decision is based on the evidence present the subject property for the 2015 2014, in support of heales. The Assessor pourrent assessed values bersuasive than the Apetitioner did not pro	d on our finding that: The Board stated. Neither party attended the for \$305,000, on July 28, 2014. The assessment year, a property listing requested value. The Board do rovided a market-adjusted cost age. The Board finds that the compassessor's comparable sales from vide clear, cogent, and convincing	sustains the Assessor's determined to offer testimony. The Petitioner provided the Eng, and a fee appraisal for \$3 es not consider property list approach and comparable salarable sales in the Petitione 2015 and 2016. The Board ag evidence sufficient to over	ermination of value base. The Petitioner purchas Board of Equalization's 305,000 from July 21, tings, only comparable les in support of the r's fee appraisal were less concludes that the
This decision is based on the evidence present the subject property for the 2015 2014, in support of heales. The Assessor pourrent assessed values bersuasive than the Apetitioner did not propresumption of corresponding to the corresponding	d on our finding that: The Board stated. Neither party attended the for \$305,000, on July 28, 2014. The assessment year, a property listing requested value. The Board dorrovided a market-adjusted cost age. The Board finds that the compassessor's comparable sales from vide clear, cogent, and convincing these and to warrant a reduction	sustains the Assessor's determined to offer testimony. The Petitioner provided the Eng, and a fee appraisal for \$3 es not consider property list approach and comparable salarable sales in the Petitione 2015 and 2016. The Board arg evidence sufficient to over in the valuation.	ermination of value base. The Petitioner purchas Board of Equalization's 305,000 from July 21, tings, only comparable les in support of the r's fee appraisal were less concludes that the
This decision is based on the evidence present the subject property for the 2015 2014, in support of heales. The Assessor pourrent assessed values bersuasive than the Apetitioner did not propresumption of corresponding to the corresponding	d on our finding that: The Board sented. Neither party attended the for \$305,000, on July 28, 2014. The assessment year, a property listing requested value. The Board do rovided a market-adjusted cost age. The Board finds that the compassessor's comparable sales from vide clear, cogent, and convincing the same and to warrant a reduction.	sustains the Assessor's determined to offer testimony. The Petitioner provided the Eng, and a fee appraisal for \$3 es not consider property list approach and comparable salarable sales in the Petitione 2015 and 2016. The Board arg evidence sufficient to over in the valuation.	ermination of value base. The Petitioner purchas Board of Equalization's 305,000 from July 21, tings, only comparable les in support of the r's fee appraisal were lest concludes that the ercome the Assessor's
This decision is based on the evidence present the subject property for the 2015 2014, in support of heales. The Assessor pourrent assessed values bersuasive than the Actitioner did not propresumption of correspondent to the correspondent of the correspondent to the correspondent t	d on our finding that: The Board sented. Neither party attended the for \$305,000, on July 28, 2014. The assessment year, a property listing requested value. The Board do rovided a market-adjusted cost age. The Board finds that the compassessor's comparable sales from vide clear, cogent, and convincing the same and to warrant a reduction.	sustains the Assessor's determent to offer testimony. The Petitioner provided the Fig. and a fee appraisal for \$3 es not consider property list arable sales in the Petitione 2015 and 2016. The Board ag evidence sufficient to over in the valuation.	ermination of value base. The Petitioner purchas Board of Equalization's 305,000 from July 21, tings, only comparable les in support of the r's fee appraisal were lest concludes that the ercome the Assessor's

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

either your county assessor or the State Board.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)