## Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner: RICHARD & LAVONNE BAILEY	
Parcel Number(s): 99900488200	
Assessment Year: 2016	Petition Number: 16-0440
Having considered the evidence presented by the partie sustains overrules the determination	s in this appeal, the Board hereby: on of the assessor.
Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination	<b>BOE True and Fair Value Determination</b>
	e hearing. The Petition states, "It's a 72 model mobile- "However, the Petitioners provided no market or provided a market-adjusted cost approach, a ket model regression value in support of the current s did not provide clear, cogent, and convincing
Dated this 24 <sup>th</sup> day of May.  James Harvison, Chairman	Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the Board
	<del></del>
This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order either your county assessor or the State Board.  To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate form	ir website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm r. The Notice of Appeal form is available from

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)

## Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner:	RICHARD & LAVONNE BAILEY		
Parcel Number(s):	12717330600	<del>_</del>	
Assessment Year:	2016	Petition Number: 16-044	1
Sustains  Assessor's True ar  Substaint Land Superovement Minerals Personal Propertor TOTAL:  This decision is base valuation of the land recommended a red standard of review if due to the Assessor assessment increase Board does not consulue of the propertor neighborhood sales value is substantially	\$ 82,500 s \$ 6,700 \$	BOE True and Fair Val  Land Improvements Minerals Personal Property TOTAL:  dopts the Assessor's recomm Neither party participated in 500, for a total recommended vincing evidence to the prepositioners shared concerns about evidence in support of the ent increase in determining the sor provided a market-adjusted ded value. The Board finds the ded value. The Board concluded	\$ 24,500 \$ 6,700 \$ 31,200  mended reduction in the the hearing. The Assessor d value of \$31,200. The onderance of the evidence out the percentage of the requested value. The he true and fair market ed cost approach and a that the recommended es that the Petitioners did
	de	State ) to be	
James Harvison, Ch	airman	Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the	Board
	NOT	<del></del>	
PO Box 40915 within thirty da	be appealed to the State Board of Ta, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at the ays of the date of mailing of this ordernty assessor or the State Board.	eir website at bta.state.wa.us/a	ppeal/forms.htm

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)

## **Order of the Thurston County**

## **Board of Equalization**

Property Owner: Parcel Number(s):	12717330700		
Assessment Year:	2016	Petition Number: 16-044	2
1 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
Having considered	the evidence presented by the p	parties in this appeal, the Board h	nereby:
sustains	overrules the determi	nation of the assessor.	
Assessor's True an	nd Fair Value Determination	BOE True and Fair Va	lue Determination
□ Land	\$ 95,500	\int Land	\$ 68,700
			\$ 0
Minerals	\$	Minerals	\$
Personal Prop		Personal Property	\$
TOTAL:	\$ 95,500	TOTAL:	\$ 68,700
valuation of the lan recommended a red evidence to the prep	d based on the evidence present duction to \$68,700. The standard ponderance of the evidence due	ard adopts the Assessor's recommendated. Neither party participated in d of review is reduced to clear, conto the Assessor's recommended ment increase. The Petitioners participated	the hearing. The Assess ogent, and convincing reduction. The Petition
valuation of the lan recommended a red evidence to the prephared concerns about the evidence in support increase in determination of the Board file. The Board concludes	d based on the evidence present luction to \$68,700. The standard ponderance of the evidence due out the percentage of the assess to of the requested value. The Boning the true and fair market valuated cost approach and a need that the recommended values that the Petitioners did not present the present that the petitioners did not present the present that the present the pr	ted. Neither party participated in d of review is reduced to clear, c	the hearing. The Assess ogent, and convincing reduction. The Petitions rovided no market stage of the assessment 1, 2016. The Assessor ort of the recommended etitioners' requested value
valuation of the lan recommended a red evidence to the prephared concerns above evidence in support increase in determination of the Board conclude further reduction in	d based on the evidence present fuction to \$68,700. The standard ponderance of the evidence due out the percentage of the assess of the requested value. The Boning the true and fair market valuations that the recommended values that the Petitioners did not protect the valuation.	ted. Neither party participated in d of review is reduced to clear, of to the Assessor's recommended ment increase. The Petitioners property does not consider the percen- lue of the property as of January eighborhood sales listing in suppose is substantially less than the Percentage of the covide the preponderance of the covide the preponderance of the coviderance of the	the hearing. The Assess ogent, and convincing reduction. The Petitions rovided no market stage of the assessment 1, 2016. The Assessor ort of the recommended etitioners' requested value
valuation of the lan recommended a red evidence to the prep shared concerns above evidence in support increase in determination of the provided a market-avalue. The Board fi	d based on the evidence present luction to \$68,700. The standard ponderance of the evidence due out the percentage of the assess to of the requested value. The Boning the true and fair market valuated cost approach and a need that the recommended values that the Petitioners did not present the present that the petitioners did not present the present that the present the pr	ted. Neither party participated in d of review is reduced to clear, or to the Assessor's recommended ment increase. The Petitioners property and does not consider the percen- lue of the property as of January eighborhood sales listing in suppose te is substantially less than the Pe	the hearing. The Assess ogent, and convincing reduction. The Petitions rovided no market stage of the assessment 1, 2016. The Assessor ort of the recommended etitioners' requested value
valuation of the lan recommended a red evidence to the prephared concerns above evidence in support increase in determination of the Board conclude further reduction in	d based on the evidence present fuction to \$68,700. The standard ponderance of the evidence due out the percentage of the assess of the requested value. The Boning the true and fair market valuations that the recommended values that the Petitioners did not protect the valuation.	ted. Neither party participated in d of review is reduced to clear, of to the Assessor's recommended ment increase. The Petitioners property does not consider the percen- lue of the property as of January eighborhood sales listing in suppose is substantially less than the Percentage of the covide the preponderance of the covide the preponderance of the coviderance of the	the hearing. The Assess ogent, and convincing reduction. The Petitions rovided no market stage of the assessment 1, 2016. The Assessor ort of the recommended etitioners' requested value
valuation of the lan recommended a red evidence to the prep shared concerns above evidence in support increase in determination of the Board conclude further reduction in	d based on the evidence present fuction to \$68,700. The standard ponderance of the evidence due out the percentage of the assess to of the requested value. The Borning the true and fair market valuations that the recommended values that the Petitioners did not protect the valuation.	ted. Neither party participated in d of review is reduced to clear, of to the Assessor's recommended ment increase. The Petitioners property does not consider the percen- lue of the property as of January eighborhood sales listing in suppose is substantially less than the Percentage of the covide the preponderance of the covide the preponderance of the coviderance of the	the hearing. The Assess ogent, and convincing reduction. The Petitione rovided no market stage of the assessment 1, 2016. The Assessor ort of the recommended etitioners' requested value evidence to warrant a

within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)