## Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

	Board o	of Equalization	
Property Owner:	REXIUS/CLAUSEN LLC		
Parcel Number(s):	12817140300		
Assessment Year:	2016	Petition Number: 16-057	0
Having considered	the evidence presented by the p	arties in this appeal, the Board h	ereby:
sustains	overrules the determin	nation of the assessor.	
Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination  BOE True and Fair Value Determination			
the testimony and ev \$1,333,000 and the in reduced from clear, or recommended reduct standard of review. The years. The Petitioner much traffic and sign	\$ 1,646,500  d on our finding that: The Board acidence presented. The Assessor recomprovements to \$30,700, for a total cogent, and convincing evidence to cion. The Assessor's Representative the Petitioner testified that the land objected to the Assessor's use of sufficant retail development. The Period of the Petitioner testificant retail development.	Improvements  Minerals  Personal Property  TOTAL:  dopts the Assessor's recommended commended a reduction in the certiful recommended value of \$1,363,700 the preponderance of the evidence e objected to the Board's statement has been used by the Petitioners for sales on Martin Way, which he contributioner testified that the zoning of the sales of the sale	fied valuation of the land to 0. The standard of review is due to the Assessor's about the reduction in the or agricultural use for many tends have three times as the subject property was
assessed value of the sell for nearly a year of other properties in Rexius/Clausen LLC testified that the parc approach and a sales explained the contiguations of the Ass office/residential mu other than a nursery. locations on Martin Board finds that the locations that the locations of the self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-	without receiving any offers. The land the true and fair marks is not the same owner as Rexius Latel next door was purchased for \$46 comparison approach in support of the comparison ap	than other commercial zoning. The d by Lew Rents and the property the Board does not consider property like to value of the subject property. The LC, the owner of the neighboring p 50,000 in 2015. The Assessor proving the recommended value. The Assessor the property and that it actually rest the zoning of the subject property that the subject property is some that the subject property is assessed that the subject property is as a subject property is as a subject property is as a subject property is a subject property in the subject property in the subject property is a subject property in the subject property in the subject property is a subject property in the subject proper	at Morris has been trying to stings or the assessed value he Petitioners clarified that barcels. The Petitioner ded a market-adjusted cost essor's Representative duces the overall value per was professional he commercial development used lower than prime retail in Way traffic corridors. The requested value. The Board
Dated this 30th	day of August		
James Harvison, Cl	rairman	Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the	Board
		VACUT CIE	
This and an array		NOTICE  of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of	of anneal with them at
This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm			

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File