Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner:	SEAN & ALLISON	MASON	
Parcel Number(s):	73101700205		
Assessment Year:	2017	Petition Number: 17-0054	
Having considered the evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board hereby:			
Having considered the evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board hereby:			

BOE True and Fair Value Determination

Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination

🛛 Land	\$ 142,700	🔀 Land	\$_125,500
Improvements	\$_518,500	Improvements	\$ 518,500
Minerals	\$	Minerals	\$
Personal Property	\$	Personal Property	\$
TOTAL:	\$ 661,200	TOTAL:	\$ 644,000

This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overrules the Assessor's determination of value based on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, in a measure, on its previous reviews of the subject property. The Petitioner testified that: he disagrees with the Assessor's valuation of the land; there is no waterfront access and no view; the well that serves the subject residence is located on an adjacent lot rather than the subject property; there is a new water line easement along the back of the subject property; the Assessor's comparable sales have more usable land than the subject property; and the private road serving this development is in poor condition and there is not a road maintenance agreement. The Petitioner shared concerns about the amount of the assessment increase in the land value from the previous year. The Petitioners submitted four comparable sales in support of their requested value: two vacant land sales and two improved property sales. The Assessor provided a market-adjusted cost approach and comparable sales in support of the current assessed value. The Assessor did not participate in the hearing. The Board finds that: the Petitioner and the Assessor stipulated the 2016 assessment year petition with a land value of \$118,450, an improvement value of \$521,550, and a total value of \$640,000; the subject property does not have sidewalks, streetlights, or other features typically associated with a good neighborhood; the Assessor's adjustment for good neighborhood increased from the 2016 assessment year; and the well is not located on the subject property. The Board concludes that the Petitioner provided clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

Date	d this <u>30th</u> day of <u>November</u> , <u>2017</u>
Jame	Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the Board
U	NOTICE
	This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.
	k about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647- Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400. Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)

SHIPPED DEC 1 1 2017