## Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

		<del></del>			
Parcel Number(s):	80050003200				
Assessment Year:	2017	Petit	ion Number: 17-0	266	
Unvina considered	the evidence prese	ented by the parties in the	nis anneal the Roor	d horo	hve
		• •		u nere	oy.
sustains	overrules	the determination of t	ne assessor.		
Assessor's True a	nd Fair Value Det	ermination BC	DE True and Fair	<u>Value</u>	<b>Determination</b>
∠ Land	\$ 162,200	)	⊠ Land	\$	162,200
	ts \$ 315,500	)		\$	287,800
☐ Minerals	\$		Minerals	\$	
Personal Prop	perty	<del></del>	Personal Proper	ty \$	
TOTAL:	\$ 477,700	)	TOTAL:	\$	450,000
finds the Petitioner	's comparable sale	nparison approach in s s to be compelling evic to the subject property	ence. The Board fir	t asses	at Petitioner's
finds the Petitioner comparable sale A property. The Boar	's comparable sale is the most similar d concludes that the	s to be compelling evid	ence. The Board fir and supports a redulear, cogent, and co	t assested that the contract th	ssed value. The Board at Petitioner's alue for the subject ng evidence sufficien
finds the Petitioner comparable sale A property. The Boar to overcome the As	's comparable sale is the most similar d concludes that the ssessor's presumption	s to be compelling evidence to the subject property e Petitioner provided con of correctness and to	ence. The Board fir and supports a redu lear, cogent, and co o warrant a reduction	t assested that the contract th	ssed value. The Board at Petitioner's alue for the subject ng evidence sufficien
finds the Petitioner comparable sale A property. The Boar to overcome the As	's comparable sale is the most similar d concludes that the	s to be compelling evic to the subject property e Petitioner provided c	ence. The Board fir and supports a redulear, cogent, and co	t assested that the contract th	ssed value. The Board at Petitioner's alue for the subject ng evidence sufficien
finds the Petitioner comparable sale A property. The Boar to overcome the As	's comparable sale is the most similar d concludes that the ssessor's presumption	s to be compelling evidence to the subject property e Petitioner provided con of correctness and to	ence. The Board fir and supports a redu lear, cogent, and co o warrant a reduction	t assested that the contract th	ssed value. The Board at Petitioner's alue for the subject ng evidence sufficien
finds the Petitioner comparable sale A property. The Boar to overcome the As	's comparable sale is the most similar d concludes that th ssessor's presumpti  day of	s to be compelling evide to the subject property e Petitioner provided con of correctness and to August	ence. The Board fir and supports a redu lear, cogent, and co o warrant a reduction	t asset ads that aced v nvinci on in the	ssed value. The Board at Petitioner's alue for the subject ng evidence sufficien he valuation.
finds the Petitioner comparable sale A property. The Boar to overcome the Associated this	's comparable sale is the most similar d concludes that th ssessor's presumpti  day of	s to be compelling evide to the subject property e Petitioner provided con of correctness and to August	ence. The Board fin and supports a reduction of warrant a reduction and a reduction and a reduction are a reduction at the support of the sup	t asset ads that aced v nvinci on in the	ssed value. The Board at Petitioner's alue for the subject ng evidence sufficien he valuation.
finds the Petitioner comparable sale A property. The Boar to overcome the Associated this	's comparable sale is the most similar d concludes that the seessor's presumption day of	s to be compelling evide to the subject property e Petitioner provided con of correctness and to August	ence. The Board fin and supports a reduction lear, cogent, and co o warrant a reduction 2018 2018 1th J. Elder, Clerk o	t asset ads that aced v nvinci on in the	ssed value. The Board at Petitioner's alue for the subject ng evidence sufficien he valuation.
finds the Petitioner comparable sale A property. The Boar to overcome the Associated this	's comparable sale is the most similar d concludes that the seessor's presumption day of	s to be compelling evide to the subject property e Petitioner provided con of correctness and to August	ence. The Board fin and supports a reduction of warrant a reduction warrant a reduction the J. Elder, Clerk of the	t asset ads that aced very the first the E	ssed value. The Board at Petitioner's alue for the subject ng evidence sufficienthe valuation.  Board  ppeal with them at eal/forms.htm

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)