Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

ADI & TF	RUDY BILIMORIA			
740200	002300			
2017		Petition Number:	17-0403	
	· ·	1 11 /	Board hereb	ру:
nd Fair V	alue Determinati	on BOE True and I	Fair Value	Determination
\$	79,100	🔀 Land	\$	79,100
ts \$	402,000	Improveme	ents \$	362,900
\$		Minerals	\$	
perty \$		Personal P	roperty \$	
\$	481,100	TOTAL:	\$	442,000
	740200 2017 the evide ⊠ over nd Fair V \$ ts \$ \$	2017the evidence presented by the \bigtriangleup overrulesthe determination nd Fair Value Determinati $\$$ 79,100ts $\$$ 402,000 $\$$ perty $\$$	740200023002017Petition Number:the evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the \boxtimes overrulesthe determination of the assessor. nd Fair Value DeterminationBOE True and s79,100 \boxtimes Landts\$ 402,000 \boxtimes Improvements $=$ 0 $=$ 0perty\$ 0 \square Personal	740200023002017Petition Number: 17-0403the evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board herel \boxtimes overrulesthe determination of the assessor. BOE True and Fair Valueand Fair Value DeterminationBOE True and Fair Value \$ 79,100 \boxtimes Land\$ts\$ 402,000 \boxtimes Improvements\$ \square Minerals\$\$ perty\$ \square Personal Property

<u>This decision is based on our finding that</u>: The Board overrules the Assessor's determination of value based on the testimony and evidence presented. The Board relies, in a measure, on its previous reviews of the subject property. At the hearing, the Petitioner accepted the Assessor's land value and requested an improvement value of \$362,750, for a total requested value of \$441,850. He submitted a Comparative Market Analysis including nine comparable sales with an average sale price of \$140 per square foot in support of his requested value. The Petitioner discussed the lack of reliability in various models used to predict market values, using Zillow.com as an example. He stated that the Assessor's model has similar built in inaccuracies. The Assessor provided a market-adjusted cost approach and comparable sales in support of the current assessed value. The Assessor did not participate in the hearing. The Board finds the Petitioner's Comparative Market Analysis to be compelling and that his comparable sales support a reduced valuation for the subject property. The Board concludes that the Petitioners have provided clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

Date	d this <u>30th</u> day of <u>November</u> , <u>2017</u>
$\left(\right)$	Mitte Hitte Har
Jame	s Harvison, Chairman Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the Board
4	NOTICE
	This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.
	about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647- Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400. Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

SHIPPED DEC 1 1 2017

(Pe)

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)