## Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

	SHAHAN					
7885050	1400	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2017		Petition Number: 17-05	etition Number: 17-0512			
🛛 overru	iles the determi	nation of the assessor.		· ·		
<u>i Fair Va</u>	lue Determination	BOE True and Fair V	alue	Determination		
\$	61,200	🔀 Land	\$	61,200		
\$	162,700	Improvements	\$	117,800		
· \$		Minerals	\$			
rty \$		Personal Property	/ \$			
\$	223,900	TOTAL:	\$	179,000		
	7885050 2017 The evidence → overru I Fair Va \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	788505014002017Te evidence presented by the presented	78850501400   2017 Petition Number: 17-05   ie evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board   ie overrules the determination of the assessor.   I Fair Value Determination BOE True and Fair V   \$ 61,200 Improvements   \$ 162,700 Improvements   \$ 162,700 Personal Property	78850501400   2017 Petition Number: 17-0512   ne evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board herely   ✓ overrules the determination of the assessor.   I Fair Value Determination BOE True and Fair Value   § 61,200 ✓ Land \$   § 162,700 ✓ Improvements \$   strty \$ Personal Property \$		

This decision is based on our finding that: The Board adopts the Assessor's recommended reduction in the valuation based on the testimony and evidence presented. At the hearing, the Petitioner informed the Board that he agreed with the Assessor's recommended reduction. The Assessor recommended a reduction in the valuation of the improvements to \$117,800, for a total recommended value of \$179,000. The standard of review is reduced from clear, cogent, and convincing evidence to the preponderance of the evidence due to the Assessor's recommended reduction. The Assessor's Representative did not participate in the hearing, but provided a written response that included a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales comparison approach in support of the recommended value. The Board finds the Assessor's recommended reduction is equivalent to the Petitioner's value and that the Petitioner is in agreement. The Board finds that the evidence supports the Assessor's recommended reduction in the valuation.

Dated this	<u>16<sup>th</sup></u>	_ day of	August	,	2018
John	1	Ń	nem	Ø	HAL S.C.C.
John L. Morr	ison, Ch	airman	produce of	Ruth	J. Elder, Clerk of the Board

NOTICE

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)

SHIPPED SEP 1 4 2018