Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner: _L	OWE'S HIW INC.				
Parcel Number(s):	11817131902				
Assessment Year:	2018	Petition Number: 18-028	mber: 18-0285		
\boxtimes sustains	ne evidence presented by the parti overrules the determinati d Fair Value Determination				
⊠ Land	\$ 7,703,100	⊠ Land	\$ 7,703,100		
Improvements	\$ 11,240,100	Improvements	\$ 11,240,100		
Minerals	\$	Minerals	\$		
Personal Prope	erty \$	Personal Property	\$		
TOTAL:	\$ 18,943,200	TOTAL:	\$ 18,943,200		

This decision is based on our finding that: The Board sustains the Assessor's determination of value based on the testimony and evidence presented.

The Petitioner was represented by Jennifer Rogers of Altus Group. Ms. Rogers stated that the Petitioner requested a total value of \$14,750,000, and does not dispute the Assessor's land value. The Petitioner's Representative provided a cost approach, an income approach, and a sales comparison approach in support of the requested value. Ms. Rogers reviewed the sale of the Lowe's in Mount Vernon, Skagit County, which sold for \$16,991,453 in April 2018. Ms. Rogers explained that this was a sale-leaseback to a national investment grade tenant, resulting in acceptable income and low long-term risk for the buyer, and that the derived capitalization rate of 5.85 percent must be adjusted for this fact. On rebuttal, Ms. Rogers agreed that the April 2018 sale of the Mount Vernon Lowe's was not a traditional sale-leaseback, but the sale benefitted from the original sale-leaseback and favorable terms.

The Assessor was represented by Teresa Hoyer, Commercial Appraiser, who provided a written Response including a market-adjusted cost approach, a sales comparison approach, and an income approach in support of the current assessed value. The Assessor's Representative clarified that the Petitioner's sale of the Lowe's in Mount Vernon is not a sale-leaseback transaction, since Lowe's was not the owner at the time of the sale. Regarding the sale of the Mount Vernon Lowe's, Ms. Hoyer testified that: after speaking with the Skagit County Assessor's Office, she determined that this was a valid market sale; the lease rate indicates that this is a market lease; and there was no known duress involved. Ms. Hoyer further testified that: the Petitioner's cost approach does not include entrepreneurial profit over and above development overhead; the Petitioner's cost approach with sales; and the Petitioner's cost approach is incomplete, with no support for the expense information. Ms. Hoyer reviewed the Petitioner's comparable sales information, noting that: the former Kmart in Lacey and the former Target in Federal Way were allocated sales, since the entire shopping center was purchased; and the sale of Shopko in Kennewick included the requirement to maintain 800 feet of roadway.

- | |
- '

1

- /
- , |

Thurston County Board of Equalization Petition Number 18-0285 Lowe's HIW Inc. Page Two of Two

The Board does not find the analysis provided by the Petitioner's Representative to be convincing. The Board concludes that the Petitioner did not provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

Do	d this m L. Morri	30 th	_ day of	January	, , , ,	2019 Http://www.endocentres.com/ eth J. Elde	9 T, Clerk of t	he Board	
V				N	OTICE				
This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.				ns.htm					
			ers use the Wa	ication in an alternate shington Relay Servic ibution: • Assess	e by callin	g 711. For ta			
REV 6	54 0058 (6/9/3	4)							

SHIPPED MAR 0 1 2019

Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner: _L	OWE'S HIW INC		
Parcel Number(s):	58040000100		
Assessment Year:	2018	Petition Number: 18-028	6
\boxtimes sustains	he evidence presented by the partic overrules the determination d Fair Value Determination		·
🔀 Land	\$ 3,957,900	X Land	\$ 3,957,900
Improvements	\$ 10,182,000	Improvements	\$ 10,182,000
Minerals	\$	Minerals	\$
Personal Prope	erty \$	Personal Property	\$
TOTAL:	\$ 14,139,900	TOTAL:	\$ 14,139,900

<u>This decision is based on our finding that</u>: The Board sustains the Assessor's determination of value based on the testimony and evidence presented.

The Petitioner was represented by Jennifer Rogers of Altus Group. Ms. Rogers stated that the Petitioner is requesting a total value of \$12,750,000, and that they accept the Assessor's land value of \$3,957,900. The Petitioner's Representative provided a cost approach, an income approach, and a sales comparison approach in support of the requested value. Ms. Rogers reviewed the sale of the Lowe's in Mount Vernon, Skagit County, which sold for \$16,991,453 in April 2018. Ms. Rogers explained that this was a sale-leaseback to a national investment grade tenant, resulting in acceptable income and low long-term risk for the buyer, and that the derived capitalization rate of 5.85 percent must be adjusted for this fact. On rebuttal, Ms. Rogers agreed that the April 2018 sale of the Mount Vernon Lowe's was not a traditional sale-leaseback, but the sale benefitted from the original sale-leaseback and favorable terms.

The Assessor was represented by Teresa Hoyer, Commercial Appraiser, who provided a written Response including a market-adjusted cost approach, a sales comparison approach, and an income approach in support of the current assessed value. The Assessor's Representative clarified that the Petitioner's sale in Mount Vernon is not a sale-leaseback transaction, since Lowe's was not the owner at the time of the sale. Regarding the sale of the Mount Vernon Lowe's, Ms. Hoyer testified that: after speaking with the Skagit County Assessor's Office, she determined that this was a valid market sale; the lease rate indicates that this is a market lease; and there was no known duress involved. Ms. Hoyer further testified that: the Petitioner's cost approach does not include entrepreneurial profit over and above development overhead; the Petitioner's cost approach does not include entrepreneurial profit over and above development overhead; the Petitioner's cost approach when the Petitioner's cost approach is incomplete, with no support for the expense information. Ms. Hoyer reviewed the Petitioner's comparable sales information, noting that: the former Kmart in Lacey and the former Target in Federal Way were allocated sales, since the entire shopping center was purchased; and the sale of Shopko in Kennewick included the requirement to maintain 800 feet of roadway. Ms. Hoyer explained that the assessed value is established using a cost approach, and an income approach is used as a check on the cost approach when there is an appeal.

- 1
- 1
- 1
- /

1

Thurston County Board of Equalization Petition Number 18-0286 Lowe's HIW Inc Page Two of Two

The Board does not find the analysis provided by the Petitioner's Representative to be convincing. The Board concludes that the Petitioner did not provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

.

Dated this <u>30th</u> day of <u>Janu</u>	uary,2019			
John L. Monion	Att Seller			
John L. Morrison, Chairman	Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the Board			
	NOTICE			
This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them at				
PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm				
within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from				
either your county assessor or the State I	Board			
7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Rela	alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647- ny Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400. • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File			
REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)				

SHIPPED MAR 0 1 2019