Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Parcel Number(s):	09730003018					
Assessment Year:	2018		Petition Number: 18-052	Petition Number: 18-0528		
Having considered ⊠ sustains	the evidence pres	-	ties in this appeal, the Board h	iereł	oy:	
Assessor's True a	nd Fair Value D	<u>etermination</u>	BOE True and Fair Va	lue]	Determination	
⊠ Land	\$ 154,00	00	∠ Land	\$	154,000	
	s \$ 455,50	00	Improvements	\$	455,500	
Minerals	\$		_ Minerals	\$		
Personal Prop	· •		Personal Property	\$		
TOTAL:	\$ 609,50	00	TOTAL:	\$	609,500	
This decision is bas he evidence preser concerns about the Response including	sed on our finding ited. The Parties of amount of the ass g a market-adjuste	did not participat sessed value incr ed cost approach	I sustains the Assessor's determent in the hearing. On Petition, the ease. The Assessor's Represert and a sales comparison approaches amount of the assessed value.	the I ntati ach	Petitioners shared ve provided a writter in support of the	
This decision is base the evidence present concerns about the Response including current assessed variewing the true and not provide clear, c	sed on our finding ited. The Parties of amount of the ass g a market-adjusted lue. The Board do and fair market val ogent, and convir	did not participat sessed value incred cost approach oes not consider alue as of Januar ncing evidence si	e in the hearing. On Petition, to ease. The Assessor's Represert and a sales comparison approache amount of the assessed value of 1, 2018. The Board conclude afficient to overcome the Assessed.	the Intation ach in the interior in the interi	Petitioners shared ve provided a writter in support of the ncrease when at the Petitioners did	
This decision is base the evidence present concerns about the Response including current assessed va- reviewing the true and not provide clear, of correctness and to variety	sed on our finding ited. The Parties of amount of the ass g a market-adjusted lue. The Board do and fair market val ogent, and convir	did not participat sessed value incred cost approach oes not consider alue as of Januar ncing evidence si	e in the hearing. On Petition, to ease. The Assessor's Represert and a sales comparison approache amount of the assessed value of 1, 2018. The Board conclude afficient to overcome the Assessed.	the Intation ach in the interior in the interi	Petitioners shared ve provided a writter in support of the ncrease when at the Petitioners did	
This decision is base the evidence present concerns about the Response including current assessed vareviewing the true anot provide clear, of correctness and to vare	sed on our finding ted. The Parties of amount of the ass a market-adjusted lue. The Board do and fair market valued ogent, and convirt warrant a reduction	did not participat sessed value incred cost approach bes not consider alue as of Januar noting evidence su on in the valuatio	te in the hearing. On Petition, to ease. The Assessor's Represer and a sales comparison approathe amount of the assessed value of 1, 2018. The Board conclude afficient to overcome the Assemble.	the Intation that it is achieved in the interest of the control of the interest of the control of the interest of the control of the interest	Petitioners shared ve provided a writter in support of the ncrease when at the Petitioners did 's presumption of	
This decision is base the evidence present concerns about the Response including current assessed varieties in the provide clear, of correctness and to varieties and the correctness and to varieties. Dated this 30th	sed on our finding ated. The Parties of amount of the assign a market-adjusted lue. The Board do and fair market valogent, and convirt warrant a reduction day of	did not participat sessed value incred cost approach bes not consider alue as of Januar noting evidence su on in the valuatio	te in the hearing. On Petition, to ease. The Assessor's Represert and a sales comparison approache amount of the assessed valuation of the assessed valuation of the Assessed to overcome the Assessed.	the Intation that it is achieved in the interest of the control of the interest of the control of the interest of the control of the interest	Petitioners shared ve provided a writter in support of the ncrease when at the Petitioners did 's presumption of	
This decision is base the evidence present concerns about the Response including current assessed vareviewing the true anot provide clear, of correctness and to vare	sed on our finding ated. The Parties of amount of the assign a market-adjusted lue. The Board do and fair market valogent, and convirt warrant a reduction day of	did not participat sessed value incred cost approach bes not consider alue as of Januar noting evidence su on in the valuatio	te in the hearing. On Petition, to ease. The Assessor's Represer and a sales comparison approathe amount of the assessed value of 1, 2018. The Board conclude afficient to overcome the Assemble.	the Intation that it is achieved in the interest of the control of the interest of the control of the interest of the control of the interest	Petitioners shared ve provided a writter in support of the ncrease when at the Petitioners did 's presumption of	

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

forms are available from either your county assessor or the State Board of Tax Appeals.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (5/25/2017)

Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner:	KENNETH & TERESA TRAPP		
Parcel Number(s):	71150001904		
Assessment Year:	2018	Petition Number: 18-052	9
⊠ sustains	the evidence presented by the partie overrules the determination	es in this appeal, the Board hon of the assessor. BOE True and Fair Val	·
			
	\$ 180,700	∠ Land ∠	\$ 180,700
			\$ <u>458,900</u> \$
Personal Prop	***************************************	Personal Property	\$
TOTAL:	\$ 639,600	TOTAL:	\$ 639,600
comparison approace submit sufficient ev provide clear, coger	ntative provided a written Response ch in support of the current assessed idence to support their requested vant, and convincing evidence sufficie varrant a reduction in the valuation.	value. The Board finds that the lue. The Board concludes the	the Petitioners did not nat the Petitioners did not
Dated this 30th	day ofMay	, <u>2019</u> THUT H	
John L. Morrison, C	Chairman	Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the	ne Board
·	NOT	TICE	
with them at Posta.state.wa.us	be appealed to the State Board of Ta O Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0 appeal/forms.htm within thirty days lable from either your county assesso	x Appeals by filing a formal of 1915 or at their website at of the date of mailing of this	order. The appeal
To ask about the availab	uility of this publication in an alternate form	nat for the visually impaired plea	se call 1-800-647-

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (5/25/2017)