Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner: DARLA HATCHER-WE	ST
Parcel Number(s): 09530005002	
Assessment Year: 2018	Petition Number: <u>18-0554</u>
	by the parties in this appeal, the Board hereby: letermination of the assessor.
Assessor's True and Fair Value Determin	nation BOE True and Fair Value Determination
\boxtimes Improvements \$\frac{124,200}{}	\square Improvements \$ 124,200
Minerals \$	Minerals \$
Personal Property \$	Personal Property \$
TOTAL: \$ 211,100	TOTAL: \$ 211,100
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p	Assessor's Representative provided a written Response including comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. provide market evidence to support her requested value. The provide clear cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p Board concludes that the Petitioner did not p	comparison approach in support of the current assessed value.
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p Board concludes that the Petitioner did not p overcome the Assessor's presumption of co	comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. Or ovide market evidence to support her requested value. The provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to rrectness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p Board concludes that the Petitioner did not p overcome the Assessor's presumption of co	comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. provide market evidence to support her requested value. The provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p Board concludes that the Petitioner did not p overcome the Assessor's presumption of co	comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. Or ovide market evidence to support her requested value. The provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to rrectness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p Board concludes that the Petitioner did not p overcome the Assessor's presumption of co	comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. Or ovide market evidence to support her requested value. The provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to rrectness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p Board concludes that the Petitioner did not p overcome the Assessor's presumption of co Dated this 13th day of Ju	comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. brovide market evidence to support her requested value. The provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to rrectness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation. and 1. 1. 2019 Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the Board
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p Board concludes that the Petitioner did not p overcome the Assessor's presumption of co Dated this 13th day of Ju John L. Morrison, Chairman This order can be appealed to the State	comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. brovide market evidence to support her requested value. The provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to rrectness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation. ne
a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales The Board finds that the Petitioner did not p Board concludes that the Petitioner did not p overcome the Assessor's presumption of co Dated this 13th day of Ju John L. Morrison, Chairman This order can be appealed to the State with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, V	comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. brovide market evidence to support her requested value. The provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to rrectness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation. ne

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (5/25/2017)