Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner:	302 BING	GHAMPTON LLC				
Parcel Number(s):	216093	310300				
Assessment Year:	sment Year: 2018		Petition Number:	Petition Number: 18-0601		
sustains	⊠ over	rules the determ	parties in this appeal, the nination of the assessor.		•	
Assessor's frue a	<u>nu fair v</u>	alue Determination	<u>BOE True and I</u>	air value	Determination	
⊠ Land	\$	35,600	∠ Land	\$	35,600	
	ts \$	170,300	 Improveme	nts \$	154,400	
☐ Minerals	\$		☐ Minerals	\$	•	
Personal Pro	perty \$		Personal Pr	operty \$	•	
TOTAL:	\$	205,900	TOTAL:	\$	190,000	

This decision is based on our finding that: The Board overrules the Assessor's determination of value based on the testimony and evidence presented.

The Petitioner, Bojana Foster, testified about the percentage of the increase in the assessment. Ms. Foster contends that the building is now encroaching two to three feet on the City's right-of-way. Ms. Foster testified that: both she and the City were given title to a strip of land, but that in litigation, the City prevailed; the City has not required her to move the building at this time; there would be a requirement to disclose the encroachment to any potential buyer; the building cannot be relocated on top of the septic system; and the estimate for moving the building is \$124,000.

The Assessor was represented by Teresa Hoyer, Commercial Appraiser, who provided a written Response including a market-adjusted cost approach and a sales comparison approach in support of the current assessed value. Ms. Hoyer testified that: the property owner not being aware of the encroachment issue at the time of her purchase is not something that the Assessor can account for in the assessment; the Assessor has applied a 10 percent adjustment to the cost of the building to account for the encroachment; the cost of moving the building is as much as the value of the building, making it such that if the building was required to be moved, it would likely be removed; the subject property's assessed value is below the low end of the comparable sales; and the encroaching building is currently occupied and rented. Ms. Hoyer further testified that: she has spoken with the City's planner and confirmed that while there are improvements in the City's plan, there are currently not funds for the design, let alone the construction, and that the 10 percent adjustment seemed reasonable based on a ten-year holding period.

Thurston County Board of Equalization Petition Number 18-0601 302 Binghampton LLC Page Two of Two

The Board finds the Petitioner's arguments regarding the impact of the encroachment on the true and fair market value of the subject property to be compelling. The Board finds that additional consideration is warranted for the encroachment. The Board concludes that the Petitioner provided clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

John L. Morrison, Chairman

Ruth J. Eller, Clerk of the Board

NOTICE

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a notice of appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The Notice of Appeal form is available from either your county assessor or the State Board.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (6/9/14)

SHIPPED APR 0 4 2019