Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Doard of Equalization							
Property Owner: El	OWIN PAUL DETRAY FAMILY TI	RUST & PHYLLIS JEAN DE	TRAY FAMILY TRUST				
Parcel Number(s):	11814430200						
Assessment Year: 2	020	Petition Number: 20-015	7				
Having considered the	e evidence presented by the partie	s in this appeal, the Board h	ereby:				
⊠ sustains [overrules the determination	on of the assessor.					
Assessor's True and Fair Value Determination BOE True and Fair Value Determination							
\boxtimes Land	\$ 2,245,000	∠ Land	\$ 2,245,000				
	\$ <u>0</u>		\$ 0				
Minerals	\$	☐ Minerals	\$				
Personal Proper	ty \$	Personal Property	\$				
TOTAL:	\$ 2,245,000	TOTAL:	\$ 2,245,000				
This decision is based on our finding that: Norman Smith of NR Smith and Associates PS, Agent for the Petitioners, and Paul DeTray, Manager of the Trusts, participated in the teleconference hearing on behalf of the Petitioners. Mr. Smith combined his testimony for Petition Numbers 20-0157 and 20-0158. At the hearing, Mr. Smith revised his requested value to \$1,002,210 for Petition Number 20-0157 and \$3,742,022 for Petition Number 20-0158.							
subject properties with these purchases were a years; the Assessor us sales transactions in the increase in the assesses sales for differences, p Assessor's adjustment sales price of the comp	out four issues: the Petitioners puthin six months of the assessment of not arms-length transactions even sed an economic model that is not ne same area that had an average is devalue of the subject property; the particularly comparable sale numbers are inconsistent and the suggest parable sales should be \$4.98 per all to commercial has no bearing of	date for \$2.13 per square for though the properties were supported by calculations as increase of 1.2 percent, yet the he Assessor failed to properly per 5, which sold for \$12.18 tion of a 20.5% growth rate is square foot; and the reclassi	ot; the Assessor claims that listed for sale for several and data; he reviewed 16 here was an 800 percent y adjust the comparable per square foot; the is ridiculous; the adjusted				

The Assessor's Representative did not participate in the hearing. Appraiser Supervisor Teresa Hoyer provided a written Response including a market-adjusted cost approach and comparable sales in support of the current assessed value.

Thurston County Board of Equalization Petition Number 20-0157 Edwin Paul DeTray Family Trust and Phyllis Jean DeTray Family Trust Page Two of Two

The value placed on the property by the Assessor is presumed to be correct. The Petitioner must overcome this presumption by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence. The Board does not consider the assessed value of other properties, the amount of tax, or other issues unrelated to the true and fair market value of the subject property as of January 1, 2020. The Board finds that the change in the highest and best use of the subject property has a direct bearing on its true and fair market value and that high-density multi-family residential property is far more valuable than a single-family residential site. The Petitioners' Representative's argument that the change in the zoning has no impact on the valuation of the property fails. The Board finds that the Petitioners' comparable sale was an estate sale and not an arms-length transaction. The Board concludes that the Petitioners did not provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

Dated this _	18 th day of	November	
John f	Monison		Att Sille
John L. Morr			Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the Board

NOTICE

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a formal or informal appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at https://bta.wa.gov within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The appeal forms are available from either your county assessor or the State Board of Tax Appeals.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (5/25/2017)

SHIPPED DEC 1 7 2021

Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner:	EDWIN F	'AUL DETRAY FAMILY T	RUST & PHYLLIS JEAN DE	ETR	AY FAMILY TRUST
Parcel Number(s):	118144	30300			
Assessment Year:	2020		Petition Number: 20-015	8	
	overn	• • •	es in this appeal, the Board hon of the assessor. BOE True and Fair Val		
	uran v				
	s \$.	<u>4,641,700</u> 0	∠ Land ∠ Improvements	\$ \$	4,641,700 0
Minerals	\$ \$	0	Minerals	\$	
Personal Prop	-		Personal Property	\$	
TOTAL:	\$	4,641,700	TOTAL:	\$	4,641,700
	revised hi	is requested value to \$1,00	Petition Numbers 20-0157 at 02,210 for Petition Number 2		
subject properties we these purchases were years; the Assessor sales transactions in increase in the asses sales for differences Assessor's adjustment sales price of the co	vithin six in the not arm used an end the same assed values, particule ents are in the marable	months of the assessment as-length transactions even conomic model that is not a area that had an average of the subject property; the arly comparable sale number of the suggestions and the suggestions.	archased approximately seve date for \$2.13 per square for a though the properties were supported by calculations a increase of 1.2 percent, yet t he Assessor failed to properl ber 5, which sold for \$12.18 tion of a 20.5% growth rate square foot; and the reclassion the market value.	ot; the listend of the listender of the listender of the list of t	the Assessor claims that ed for sale for several lata; he reviewed 16 e was an 800 percent ljust the comparable square foot; the diculous; the adjusted
			e hearing. Appraiser Supervi proach and comparable sale		

Thurston County Board of Equalization Petition Number 20-0158 Edwin Paul DeTray Family Trust and Phyllis Jean DeTray Family Trust Page Two of Two

The value placed on the property by the Assessor is presumed to be correct. The Petitioner must overcome this presumption by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence. The Board does not consider the assessed value of other properties, the amount of tax, or other issues unrelated to the true and fair market value of the subject property as of January 1, 2020. The Board finds that the change in the highest and best use of the subject property has a direct bearing on its true and fair market value and that high-density multi-family residential property is far more valuable than a single-family residential site. The Petitioners' Representative's argument that the change in the zoning has no impact on the valuation of the property fails. The Board finds that the Petitioners' comparable sale was an estate sale and not an arms-length transaction. The Board concludes that the Petitioners did not provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

Dated this 18th day of November, 2021

John L. Morrison, Chairman

Ruth J. Elder Clerk of the Board

NOTICE

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a formal or informal appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at https://bta.wa.gov within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The appeal forms are available from either your county assessor or the State Board of Tax Appeals.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (5/25/2017)