Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner:	PUGET SOUND NATIONAL BA	NK		
Parcel Number(s):	11811440300			
Assessment Year:	2020 Petition Number: 20-0249			
Having considered the Sustains	he evidence presented by the par overrules the determination		ereb	y:
Assessor's True an	d Fair Value Determination	BOE True and Fair Val	lue I	Determination
\boxtimes Land	\$ 1,659,700	\boxtimes Land	\$	1,659,700
Improvements	\$ 776,600	Improvements	\$	776,600
Minerals	\$	Minerals	\$	
Personal Prope	erty \$	Personal Property	\$	
TOTAL:	\$ 2,436,300	TOTAL:	\$	2,436,300

This decision is based on our finding that: The Board sustains the Assessor's determination of value based on the testimony and evidence presented.

The Petitioner's Representative did not participate in the teleconference hearing. On Petition, the Petitioner's Representative mentioned the percentage of the increase in the assessed value and provided comparable sales in support of the requested value of \$1,750,000.

The Assessor was represented by Appraisal Supervisor Teresa Hoyer who provided a written Response including a market-adjusted cost approach, a sales comparison approach, and an income approach in support of the current assessed value. Ms. Hover reviewed the Petitioner's comparable sales with the Board.

The value placed on the property by the Assessor is presumed to be correct. The Petitioner must overcome this presumption by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence. The Board does not find the Petitioner's comparable sales to be convincing. The Board finds that the Petitioner's comparable sales included an allocated sales amount, a redevelopment project, a high vacancy property, and multi-tenant properties that are not similar to the subject property. The Board does not consider the percentage of increase in the assessed value when reviewing the true and fair market value of the subject property as of the January 1, 2020 assessment date. The Board concludes that the Petitioner did not provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

Dated this	19 th	day of		August
John	Me	92210		
Arm.	///	1700	en	

John Morrison, Vice Chairman

2021 Clerk of the Board

Ruth J. Elder.

NOTICE

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a formal or informal appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at https://bta.wa.gov within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The appeal forms are available from either your county assessor or the State Board of Tax Appeals.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

SHIPPED OCT 0 1 2021

Order of the Thurston County Board of Equalization

Property Owner: _F	PUGET SOUND NATIONAL BAN	IK			
Parcel Number(s):	22730121700				
Assessment Year:	2020	_ Petition Number: _ 20-025	Petition Number: _20-0250		
	he evidence presented by the part	ies in this appeal, the Board h ion of the assessor.	ereby:		
Assessor's True and	d Fair Value Determination	BOE True and Fair Va	lue Determination		
\boxtimes Land	\$ 726,100	\boxtimes Land	\$ 726,100		
Improvements	\$ 961,300	Improvements	\$ 961,300		
Minerals	\$	Minerals	\$		
Personal Prop	erty \$	Personal Property	\$		
TOTAL:	\$ 1,687,400	TOTAL:	\$ 1,687,400		

<u>This decision is based on our finding that</u>: The Board sustains the Assessor's determination of value based on the testimony and evidence presented.

The Petitioner's Representative did not participate in the teleconference hearing. On Petition, the Petitioner's Representative mentioned the percentage of the increase in the assessed value and provided comparable sales in support of the requested value of \$1,000,000.

The Assessor was represented by Appraisal Supervisor Teresa Hoyer who provided a written Response including a market-adjusted cost approach, a sales comparison approach, and an income approach in support of the current assessed value. Ms. Hoyer reviewed the Petitioner's comparable sales with the Board.

The value placed on the property by the Assessor is presumed to be correct. The Petitioner must overcome this presumption by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence. The Board does not find the Petitioner's comparable sales to be convincing. The Board finds that the Petitioner's comparable sales included an allocated sales amount, a redevelopment project, a high vacancy property, and multi-tenant properties that are not similar to the subject property. The Board does not consider the percentage of increase in the assessed value when reviewing the true and fair market value of the subject property as of the January 1, 2020 assessment date. The Board concludes that the Petitioner did not provide clear, cogent, and convincing evidence sufficient to overcome the Assessor's presumption of correctness and to warrant a reduction in the valuation.

Dated this	19 th	day of	August	<u></u> , <u>2021</u>	
John	N	Tonise	· ~	THE Salar	
John Morriso				Ruth J. Elder, Clerk of the Bo	ard

NOTICE This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a formal or informal appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at https://bta.wa.gov within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The appeal forms are available from either your county assessor or the State Board of Tax Appeals.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711. For tax assistance, call (360) 534-1400.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (5/25/2017)

SHIPPED OCT 0 1 2021