

Thurston County Conservation Futures Ranking Criteria

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Please circle your score.

- |    |   |     |    |   |   |  |  |  |          |
|----|---|-----|----|---|---|--|--|--|----------|
| 1. | Meets Conservation Futures' Program Goal and Policies.  | Yes | No |   |   |  |  |  |          |
| 2. | Rank this project in how acquisition of this site fits the objective of the plan(s):  |     |    |   |   |  |  |  |          |
|    |   | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
| 3. | Is time of the essence in acquisition?  | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
| 4. | Does this site preserve:  |     |    |   |   |  |  |  |          |
| A. | Critical/sensitive lands?   |     |    |   |   |  |  |  |          |
|    | ✓ (e.g. wetlands, prairies, presence of species protected by the County's Critical Areas Ordinance or subject to state/federal listing, such as the Mazama pocket gopher, Oregon vesper sparrow, Taylor's checkerspot butterfly, or Oregon spotted frog). |     |    |   |   |  |  |  |          |
|    |   | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
| B. | Unique natural features and or natural resources?   | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
|    | ✓ e.g. Mima Mounds  |     |    |   |   |  |  |  |          |
| C. | Historical or cultural significant lands or markers?  | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
| D. | Desirable agricultural and forest working lands characteristics?  |     |    |   |   |  |  |  |          |
|    |   | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
| 5. | Certainty of project success.   | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
| 6. | Level of sponsor leverage or match.   | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
| 7. | Includes public access.   | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |
| 8. | Partner and project support.  | 1   | 2  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 points |

Name of Rater: \_\_\_\_\_