

**From:** [Don DeHan](#)  
**To:** [Andrew Deffobis](#)  
**Cc:** [Polly Stoker](#); [TCPC Simmons Jim](#); [TCPC Casino Eric](#); [TCPC Nelson Scott](#); [TCPC Karmen Doug](#); [TCPC deLeon Raul](#)  
**Subject:** Ag Land  
**Date:** Saturday, January 1, 2022 12:04:13 PM

---

Hi Andy,

I listened to the audio discussion of December 15th regarding “fallow” and propose the change indicated below.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]-->Non-agricultural Activities on Long Term Agricultural Land. The use of agricultural land for development that does not meet the definition of agricultural activities, including the conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, shall be consistent with the environment designation and the general and specific regulations applicable to the proposed use, and shall result in no net loss of ecological functions associated with the shoreline.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->The purposeful suspension of agricultural activities ~~in order to allow land to lay fallow~~ shall not constitute an abandonment of agricultural activities.

A “purposeful suspension” could be resumed at any time without government intervention. This should promote the County’s policy of no net loss of agricultural land.

I do have a question. If a tract of agricultural land is considered abandoned, what does it’s status revert to, and what is the process to return it to agriculture?

Wishing you all a Happy New Year,

Don DeHan