

To: Jennifer Davis  
Andrew Deffobis  
CC: Eric Casino  
Poly Stoker  
Planning Commissioners

Date: August 26, 2020

Re: Planning Commission Mtg 9-2-2020 (SMP)

The Planning Commissioners have been asked to review all of the public testimony on the SMP and provide input on what has not been addressed in the current draft. I have discussed this with fellow Commissioner, Eric Casino and we feel that we are not prepared for this topic to be on the agenda for the following reasons:

1. While Andrew has emailed electric copies of the current draft to me, Eric didn't get one and I assume the other Commissioner didn't get one either. We do not have hard copies to work from. Trying to use the computer to reference public comment and the current draft simultaneously is impossible without a hard copy or a second/third computer screen.
2. The current draft is not up to date, or at least does not address many of the public comment issues. So, that in itself is a daunting task.
3. The issues raised with Ecology have not been settled and incorporated in the documents. So, public comment on these issues, which are extensive are not included in the public draft.
4. This is a time-consuming Endeavor. To ask us to do it now and then again before the public hearing and after the public hearing is unnecessary redundancy.
5. Unlike the other programs such as the Comp Plan, the SMP is a complete rewrite.

We ask that this review be removed from the agenda for September 2<sup>nd</sup> and be tabled until Ecology feedback is incorporated and we can be provided a clean "redline" version of what staff wants to propose. Then give us a month to review all of the public input to date and provide our recommendation for the open house version of the draft at that time.

Doug Karman, Planning Commissioner District 2

