

Comments received from Planning Commissioners for April 3rd Meeting

From Doug:

General Questions:

1. How will we incorporate the comments from the comment summary? Is it up to each Commissioner to do this or will Brad include them in our discussion? Most are marked "Pending/item for Discussion". There is a lot of material here and some additional organization/management is needed.
2. What is the process going forward? Will the Commissioners finish their review, then a second "Red Line" version, then ask for public comment on the second red line version, Commissioners consider/evaluate the comments and put together a final document for public hearing?

Chapter 19.200 Shoreline Jurisdiction and Environment Designation

1. Will you be adding "Educational" as shoreline environment Designation? And, will this be Rural Conservancy?
3. 19.200.100.A.5 Shoreline Jurisdictions
 - a. Why did you change the term "shorelands" to "flood hazard area"? It is not defined in chapter 19.150 but is used here.
 - b. The way this is written all areas attached to shorelines would be a flood hazard area.
 - c. How are flood hazard area and 100 year flood areas different and what areas of Thurston County are not in the 100 year flood areas?

19.200.110 Shoreline Environmental Designations (4th paragraph):

1. Why do you use "net loss of shoreline ecosystem functions" vs net loss of shoreline ecological function as used throughout the SMP?

19.200.115 Shoreline Residential: (This jurisdiction represents only 3.5% of the shoreline SEDs and close to 95% is already developed with a large portion of this developed since 1969 under the SMP)

1. **200.115.A** - it states "to provide appropriate public access and recreational uses." Are homeowners required to provide "public access" to their shoreline? Are communities required to provide public access to their community property? **This needs rewording.**
2. **200.115.C.2** - Multi-family and multi-lot - Requires public access and if not feasible then off site options should be paid for. On community property access sites for neighborhood developments this wording means that they have to let the general public in or pay. **Needs to be reworded.**
3. **200.115.C.3** - This is a zoning issue. Why is it in the SMP?

19.200.120 - Urban Conservancy: (This jurisdiction represents 1.1% of the shoreline SED's)

19.200.120.A: Shouldn't the purpose include vulnerable fish and wildlife habitat?

19.200.120.B.4: Why is there an "or" at the end of this sentence?

19.200.125 - Rural Conservancy: (This jurisdiction represents 63.5% of the shoreline SED's)

19.200.125.A - Why is historical and cultural resources included in the SMP? This is covered in the CAO and there is no reference to handling these areas later in the SMP.

19.200.130 - Natural (This jurisdiction represents 31.9% of the shoreline SED's)

19.200.135 Aquatic -

- Designation criteria:

1. As written, this is confusing and looks like it only covers freshwater shorelines. Needs rewording to include Marine as well. Why not use the word "shorelands"? This is defined and covers both marine and fresh water.

Aquatic Management Policies:

1. Why the use of "and" after each criteria?
2. Why is there no mention of Aquaculture, recreation, etc? These policies basically only references docks.
3. C.6 finally mentions "saltwater".
4. The whole section needs rewording to differentiate between saltwater/marine vs freshwater vs rivers.

19.200.145 - Map Boundaries and Errors

19.200.145.B.3 -

1. The phrase "more protective" should be replaced by "the appropriate" designation ... There are situations where the more protective is not the appropriate designation. It would be up to the Planning Department to make this determination based on a site visit.
2. There should be a reference to this "appropriate designation" in 19.200.110